


George W. Brown
42

Lester R. Ames

1927



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2025

America's Duty



Richard Wood
Major General
U.S. Army

America's Duty

As Shown by

Our Military History
Its Facts and Fallacies

By

Leonard Wood

Major General U. S. Army



UA
23
W73
1921

The Reilly & Lee Co.

Chicago

Copyright, 1921
By
The Reilly & Lee Co.

Made in U. S. A.

America's Duty

CONTENTS

CHAPTER	PAGE
I THE COST OF UNPREPAREDNESS	9
II THE STRUGGLE FOR PEACE..	30
III PAST NATIONAL POLICY.....	53
IV LESSONS OF THE REVOLUTION	84
V SEVENTY YEARS OF INEFFICIENCY	117
VI THE PRICE OF UNPREPAREDNESS IN THE SIXTIES.....	143
VII THE VALUE OF PREPAREDNESS	160
VIII WHAT WE SHOULD DO....	183
IX CONSTRUCTIVE WORK OF THE ARMY	203
X LESSONS OF THE WORLD WAR.	216
APPENDIX	240
THE AUSTRALIAN SYSTEM OF DEFENSE	240
THE SWISS SYSTEM OF DEFENSE	248

FOREWORD

Panic patriotism appears from time to time when the clouds of possible trouble loom more heavily than usual. There is much discussion, some feverish activity, but little accomplishment.

Adequate national preparedness on sound lines will be secured only when there is a general appreciation of its vital importance for defense and of the further fact that it can not be improvised or done in a hurry. It includes both moral and material organization.

Military preparedness, which includes preparation on land and sea, should go hand and hand with a nation's policy. Our policy is not one of aggression, but one which looks only to a secure defense. Consequently, the arrangements for our military establishment should be limited to the needs of a secure and certain

Foreword

national defense against any force which may be brought against us.

A brief review of our past military policy, its shortcomings and cost, may aid in establishing an appreciation of our needs.

*Fort Sheridan, Illinois.
November Seventeenth.
Nineteen Twenty.*

The World War has come and gone, so far as our participation is concerned. We were unprepared in every department. We paid the price in blood and treasure.

There is nothing in existing conditions which in any way justifies failure to provide a sound system of national defense. America must ever be ready to throw the weight of her influence for justice and the maintenance of righteous peace. This influence will be most effective in preventing war if we are

Foreword

reasonably ready to make our protest promptly effective.

A resolute spirit, fair dealing, respect for the rights of others, earnest desire and effort for the peace of righteousness must go hand in hand with the moral and physical organization of our resources both in men and material, if our voice is to have the weight for peace which it should have.

We have disregarded the lessons of our other wars. Let us give heed to those of the World War.

LEONARD WOOD.

America's Duty

CHAPTER I

THE COST OF UNPREPAREDNESS

"Our culture must, therefore, not omit the arming of the man."

—*Emerson.*

Wars and rumors of wars world-wide in extent have aroused to an unusual degree the interest of the American people in their own military problems, especially the question of national defense, including, as it must, the organization of national resources.

There is a failure on the part of our people to appreciate the defects of our military organization in the past, and

AMERICA'S DUTY

a tendency growing out of this state of misinformation as to what has been really done, to continue to place too much dependence upon a military policy found gravely defective, even to the extent of endangering success and in most instances making it unnecessarily costly.

There is still a general lack of appreciation of the fact that military operations, in order to be effective, must be conducted by highly trained, well organized and equipped forces, and that such training, organization and equipment require much time and must be accompanied by an organization of industrial resources, all in complete readiness in advance of the day of trouble.

There is a general tendency to consider that our geographical position renders us secure from invasion and that our numbers, resources and wealth would be

COST OF UNPREPAREDNESS

a secure defense if we should be attacked. These very dangerous misconceptions are largely due to a failure on the part of our educational institutions, public and private, to teach properly our military history, and especially to their failure to present that side of it which relates to the methods employed in the conduct of our military establishment in the past.

With few exceptions, the teaching of the military history of our country has not been such as to give the people a correct idea of our military achievements or of the conditions under which military operations have been conducted. As a rule, students leave school, and even college, not only with superficial knowledge, but often with entirely incorrect ideas concerning our achievements in war. They know little or nothing of the system under which we have raised and

AMERICA'S DUTY

maintained our armies, still less of the unnecessary cost in life and treasure which has characterized the conduct of our wars, or the reasons therefor. Only too often the real facts of our failures are overlooked and the account of our successes exaggerated. The schools teach the dates of battles and the names of commanding generals, but nothing of the organization which determined the efficiency of military operations in our various wars.

The natural result has been an unwarranted degree of confidence, a confidence which has grown into a belief that we always have been easily successful in war; that, in the language of the Fourth of July orator, we can defeat a world in arms. The effect of this lack of sound information is not limited by any means to those in private life, but

COST OF UNPREPAREDNESS

too often characterizes the remarks of those in places of trust and responsibility who should know better. The result of this general failure to teach correctly our military history, and of the resulting misinformation concerning it, is seen in the general lack of interest in our military situation, ignorance of the most elementary facts concerning our military establishment, its organization, strength, equipment and needs.

There is a lack of information also as to the nation's resources in men and material, both mechanical and chemical. Americans are unaware that this country is depending upon sea control for many of these, and are uninformed as to the time required to make arms and ammunition. Intelligent public interest in adequate preparedness has been so long dormant, and ignorance of the need of

AMERICA'S DUTY

it is so general, that our people do not appreciate how many links in our industrial and chemical chain are wanting, how many breaks are tied together with string, how helpless the nation would be in certain lines of endeavor without these missing necessities.

They are cheerfully confident that an untrained American is as effective in war as a highly trained and equally well educated foreigner of equal physical strength and intelligence. There is a lack of appreciation of the fact that willingness does not mean fitness or ability. This condition of mind is undoubtedly ascribable to the fact that we have been actively engaged in matters in no way relating to our military establishment, an immense work has been accomplished in developing our resources. We are entitled to credit for what we have done,

COST OF UNPREPAREDNESS

and we can justly take much pride in it. We now need pitiless publicity as to the defects in our military system, organization and resources, which have characterized them and endangered our safety in all our past wars.

The general lack of information and interest in military matters is the result of various causes; but first and foremost is the want of sound teaching of our national history, especially its military side, and an unwarranted sense of security because of our assumed inaccessibility. It is also due in a measure to our rapid expansion, accompanied by the development of our vast resources. We have unconsciously come to look upon the size, wealth and population of our country as sufficient protection, forgetting that without the organization of our resources and the training of our

AMERICA'S DUTY

men these will be of little value against lesser forces well organized and prepared, and ignoring also the further fact that valuable territory, great wealth, and commercial aggressiveness, accompanied by weak arrangements for defense, are always an incentive to attack.

For many years after the Civil War we had a large, well-trained, though unorganized, reserve of officers and men who had seen service. This fact gave us for many years a sense of security which was well justified. Gradually this reserve of well-trained men has passed away.

The Spanish War gave little training, as did the Philippine insurrection. Campaigns of this kind are of limited value as a preparation for war with an organized prepared power. Our reserves today are reserves in name only and con-

COST OF UNPREPAREDNESS

sist of those trained but unlisted and unlocated men who have served in our army and have gone back into the mass of the people, forgotten and unheeded, valuable material lost. Their number is only a fraction in comparison with the well-trained alien reservists living in this country but owing military obligation to their home countries. The balance of our reserve consists of the wholly untrained and unprepared men of our population, of little military value until trained.

The general failure to impress upon our people the defects, weakness and unreliability of our militia and volunteer systems in the past, has resulted in an unwarranted degree of dependency upon them as reliable instruments of defense, a dependence which is not warranted by a careful study of the real facts of our

AMERICA'S DUTY

military history; a dependence, which, if continued, will cost us dearly in case of war with an organized military power of the first class.

The spirit of the officers and men who served under these systems, and are now serving under one of them, is good, but neither of the systems will stand the test of war with an organized and trained force. They will crumple up at the first heavy impact of such a force. The reason will not be the physical or moral deficiency of the men, but the fact that they will be untrained. If all great nations were trusting to military props of the type of these, the condition as to possible defense would not be so serious, but even in this case the waste of life in camp and field from ignorance of the proper care of men and lack of training for leading them in action, should

COST OF UNPREPAREDNESS

condemn these systems on the ground of plain humanity.¹

The danger of depending on these systems or upon either one of them should be made clear to our people in order that their support may be had in establishing a sound policy, one which will give the largest measure of insurance against war, one which will, if war be forced upon us, enable us to conduct it with the minimum loss of life. We have no right to employ the services of loyal and willing men under a system which insures the maximum loss of life and the minimum of success, a system which has been condemned by military experts the world over, including our

¹Every American should read Emory Upton's *Military Policy of the United States*, and follow it up with Huidekoper's work, which brings the statement of our military policy, or lack of it, up to date.

AMERICA'S DUTY

own. A continuance of these systems, or either one of them, invites attack and greatly increases the probability of defeat. The real facts of our military history make these conclusions so absolutely clear that he who runs may read.

“In time of peace prepare for war!” This was the advice of George Washington. It was drawn from the experience of all time. The advice was sound and conservative when given. It is of even more importance to-day, for the reason that organization, preparation, rapidity of transportation, have all tremendously increased the rapidity of the onset of modern war.

There is nothing particularly new in the condition of the world to-day, so far as our own situation is concerned, as the following extracts from the messages of the early presidents indicate.

COST OF UNPREPAREDNESS

As one reads them he cannot fail to be impressed with the fact that with the change of a word here and there they are as applicable to conditions to-day as when written.

On December 3, 1799, President John Adams, in his third annual address, spoke as follows:

“At a period like the present, when momentous changes are occurring and every hour is preparing new and great events in the political world, when a spirit of war is prevalent in almost every nation with whose affairs the interests of the United States have any connection, unsafe and precarious would be our situation were we to neglect the means of maintaining our just rights. The result of the mission to France is uncertain; but however it may terminate a steady perseverance in a system of

AMERICA'S DUTY

national defense commensurate with our resources and the situation of our country is an obvious dictate of wisdom; for, remotely as we are placed from the belligerent nations, and desirous as we are, by doing justice to all, to avoid offense to any, nothing short of the power of repelling aggressions will secure to our country a rational prospect of escaping the calamities of war or national degradation."

A few years later, December 3, 1805, in his fifth annual message, President Thomas Jefferson said:

"In reviewing these injuries from some of the belligerent powers, the moderation, the firmness and the wisdom of the Legislature will all be called into action. We ought still to hope that time and a more correct estimate of interest, as well as of character, will

COST OF UNPREPAREDNESS

produce the justice we are bound to expect. But should any nation deceive itself by false calculations, and disappoint that expectation, we must join in the unprofitable contest of trying which party can do the other the most harm. Some of these injuries may perhaps admit a peaceable remedy. Where that is competent it is always the most desirable. But some of them are of a nature to be met with force only, and all of them may lead to it. I can not, therefore, but recommend such preparations as circumstances call for."

Two years later, on October 27, 1807, in his seventh annual message, Jefferson made the following statements:

"Circumstances, fellow citizens, which seriously threatened the peace of our country have made it a duty to convene you at an earlier period than usual.

AMERICA'S DUTY

The love of peace so much cherished in the bosoms of our citizens, which has so long guided the proceedings of their public councils and induced forbearance under so many wrongs, may not insure our continuance in the quiet pursuits of industry. The many injuries and depredations committed on our commerce and navigation upon the high seas for years past, the successive innovations on those principles and usage of nations as the rule of their rights and peace, and all the circumstances which induced the extraordinary mission to London are already known to you.

“Under the acts of March 11 and April 23, respecting arms, the difficulty of procuring them from abroad during the present situation and dispositions of Europe, induced us to direct our whole efforts to the means of internal supply.

COST OF UNPREPAREDNESS

The public factories have therefore been enlarged, additional machineries erected, and, in proportion as artificers can be found or formed, their effect, already more than doubled, may be increased so as to keep pace with the yearly increase of the militia. The annual sums appropriated by the latter act have been directed to the encouragement of private factories of arms, and contracts have been entered into with individual undertakers to nearly the amount of the first year's appropriation."

On February 18, 1815, President James Madison, in a special message, said:

"Experience has taught us that neither the pacific dispositions of the American people nor the pacific character of their political institutions can altogether ex-

AMERICA'S DUTY

empt them from that strife which appears beyond the ordinary lot of nations to be incident to the actual period of the world, and the same faithful monitor demonstrates that a certain degree of preparation for war is not only indispensable to avert disasters in the onset, but affords also the best security for the continuance of peace."

And on December 5, 1815, in his seventh annual message, Madison wrote as follows:

"Notwithstanding the security for future repose which the United States ought to find in their love of peace and their constant respect for the rights of other nations, the character of the times particularly inculcates the lesson that, whether to prevent or repel danger, we ought not to be unprepared for it. This consideration will sufficiently recommend

COST OF UNPREPAREDNESS

to Congress a liberal provision for the immediate extension and gradual completion of the works of defense, both fixed and floating, on our maritime frontier, and an edequate provision for guarding our inland frontier against dangers to which certain portions of it may continue to be exposed."

The foregoing are quoted at some length for the purpose of pointing out that there is nothing new in the advice which is being given us for preparation. The general conditions under which nations live always render adequate preparation necessary, and our country is no exception to the rule. We stand to-day after a period of a hundred years as we shall probably stand a hundred years hence, in a position that renders adequate measures of defense absolutely necessary, if we consider our institutions

AMERICA'S DUTY

and our rights worth defending, and are to defend them successfully against powerful adversaries.

Our presidents throughout the entire period of our national life have constantly warned our people with reference to preparedness, not only as a measure necessary for the successful conduct of war, but more often as a means of preventing war. It is time that we finally take heed.

International relations are in a little less precarious condition in these days, speaking of the world at large, because the telegraph, the wireless, and rapid transmission of dispatches to all portions of the world, make full and prompt explanation of misunderstandings possible. On the other hand, rapid transport and complete organization make preparation even more necessary, as less

COST OF UNPREPAREDNESS

time is given to prepare after war is decided upon.

Earnest efforts have been made for arbitration and the maintenance of world peace, but, as present conditions indicate, success is still remote, and every nation, while striving for peace, must make adequate preparation to defend its life.

CHAPTER II

THE STRUGGLE FOR PEACE

“But in demonstrating by our conduct that we do not fear war in the necessary protection of our rights and honor, we should give no room to infer that we abandon the desire of peace. An efficient preparation for war can alone secure peace.”—*John Adams, Second Annual Message.*

There is nothing new in the movement for peace. It is centuries old. Men have dreamed of it since they had things of value to hold. Women have prayed for it through the ages. Good people have looked forward to the day of peace and tranquility since the beginning of written history, and doubtless long before. Just as they have desired

THE STRUGGLE FOR PEACE

to avoid great misfortunes, plagues, earthquakes, fire, or famine, so they have struggled to escape war, except in those instances where war was the lesser of two evils. Yet war is with us today, was with us yesterday, and so through all the years since history records man's action or tradition tells of his deeds.

Today, initiated as a rule with more formality, conducted with greater regard for the lives of the noncombatants, and characterized by a larger measure of observance of the dictates of humanity in the treatment of prisoners and the helpless, war is still with us. Peace leagues struggle to prevent it; great alliances attempt to abate it through preponderant forces —through war itself, if need be.

Arbitration serves to lessen it a little through disposing of many minor ques-

AMERICA'S DUTY

tions which, if allowed to grow, might bring about disputes resulting in war. As one of the means of possible avoidance of a resort to force, we welcome arbitration with open arms and strive to give it the largest measure of success, although realizing that in many cases it will not avail to prevent that final resort to force which can only be avoided when all great powers think alike. That time will come only when absolutely unselfish justice marks international relations; when trade is equitably shared among competing peoples; when the rich help freely the poor; when competition, greed, selfishness, race interests and prejudices and religious intolerance pass away; when men and nations have no fixed convictions which differ from those of others; when they neither dream dreams nor see visions. Until then,

THE STRUGGLE FOR PEACE

strive as we may, the cry will be "Peace! Peace!" and yet there will be no permanent peace. Nevertheless, we must strive unceasingly to reduce war to the minimum, and to build up arbitration, but in so doing we must not lose sight of the fact that our efforts will not always be successful.

An infinite wisdom has established the conditions under which we live and put in being the great law which runs through the universe; the law of the survival of the most fit. We may struggle against it, but it rules in its general application. The most fit in a military way, which includes good bodies based on good food, careful sanitation, well thought-out training, clear intelligence resting on good schools and early training, good armament, equipment and organization, all springing

AMERICA'S DUTY

from intelligence and education applied to self-protection and expansion of interests and trade, will win in war just as they win in commerce.

They may not be the most fit in abstract morality as relates to business relations between individuals or nations, or with regard to generosity or sense of justice. The characteristics of selfishness, self-interest and the spirit of acquisitiveness are often accompanied by a development of the means to get what is coveted and to hold it securely. Human nature in the mass is still human nature; under a little more restraint, perhaps, but still the old complex proposition of the ages, characterized and controlled only too often by expediency and self-interest.

Nations are but collections of individuals; we need courts for the individual

THE STRUGGLE FOR PEACE

man, and courts are of no avail without the police. In the vast group of individuals constituting a community, city or nation, the resort to force by small groups representing perhaps a thousandth, or less, of the population, is a nuisance and is not permitted by the great aggregation of the individuals among whom they live, as it interferes with the interest and activities, often safety, of too many other people. The individuals in the community of nations are few in number, and it is much less easy to bring preponderant force to the control or restraint of the more powerful.

Yet as men struggle within the community and too often resort to force unless restrained, so do nations struggle and resort to force in the world community, only here counter force in the

AMERICA'S DUTY

form of international police has never been resorted to. Can it be effectively done while there still exist strong groups characterized by century-old prejudices of race and interest. This is one of the great questions of the hour. While considering it we should not neglect preparation for defense or fail to recognize conditions as they are.

The maintenance of peace and the prevention of war have been attempted through alliances to compel or regulate the action of other groups or other combinations of nations, by efforts so to group nations as to maintain the balance of power between people whose territorial expansion and increase of population and interests might otherwise jeopardize peace. These efforts have usually resulted in war sooner or later, although in many instances serv-

THE STRUGGLE FOR PEACE

ing to maintain peace for long periods. The policy of no combination satisfies the greed, ambition or policy of all its members, and eventually the dominating interest of one or more members of such a combination, or the injection of new interests or conditions, serves after a time to bring about the loosening of the bonds of the alliance and the formation of new combinations, too often with a resort to force as the final argument.

Thus far we see little prospect of change. We may hold down for a time the explosive pressure or give it a safe vent, but from time to time human effort will fail and the explosion will occur. In other words, the controlling nations are too few in number and their vital interests are so coincident or interwoven with those of the controlled

AMERICA'S DUTY

nations that constant changes and rearrangements result in this grouping, and these changes inevitably bring about an appeal to force. It is difficult to see how this condition can be changed so long as national lines exist and racial groups continue, or certain trade areas remain under the control of these groups.

Justice and righteousness are not enough to insure protection, nor is an upright and blameless personal or national life a guarantee against the unscrupulous. A Pilate was found to crucify Christ; and a strong aggressive nation, believing in its own worth and right to expand, has always been prone to crush and coerce a weaker one, regardless of the abstract justice of the weaker nation's cause.

Why all these things are, is a question which this world cannot answer in

THE STRUGGLE FOR PEACE

precise terms, and with such answer we are not now concerned. But we are concerned with the existence of the condition.

We can with justice say that public and national morality is largely the reflection of the education of our youth. Given sound moral training in the home, a healthy body and a developed sense of justice and fair play, and you have the youth who will most probably make the sound, just and normal man in public life, the best citizen, and, collectively, when assembled in legislative bodies or engaged in executive or administrative work, the man who will act on the most just, reasonable and tolerant lines. But even among men of this class there will be strong differences of opinion and it is little short of folly to assume the contrary. We may diminish the frequency of strife and make more humane

AMERICA'S DUTY

the struggle, but for the present nothing more.

Blood, race, tradition, trade and a host of other influences, capped by ambition to go on, to lead, to expand, will always produce strife. We cannot escape this conclusion if we take as our guide the evidence of things done and being done, rather than follow the dictates of fancy or desire. The struggle for peace is centuries old, and efforts to end war and establish undisturbed peace have filled the minds of men and taxed the resources of nations. The great combinations of power to prevent war, were, after all, but combinations of forces to restrain the exercise of force, and have more often than not ended in a great struggle for readjustment of the balance of power.

The theories and policies of addled

THE STRUGGLE FOR PEACE

minds and shallow intelligences, products of the applause of the lecture platform, or of minds upset by the flattery incident to sudden wealth, have had their share of attention, and even of sympathy. After all, they indicate only a failure to understand that war generally has its roots running deep below the surface that is swept by the gaze of such observers. The authors of these theories never have studied seriously the causes of war. They assign as causes the little incidents which serve to touch off the mass of explosive which other forces have been accumulating and piling up for a generation or perhaps a century.

War, whether it be for evil or good, is among men, and our clear duty is to recognize this fact, instead of denying the evidence of our senses simply because it is disagreeable and brutal,

AMERICA'S DUTY

something that we would get rid of. Our duty is to protect ourselves as best we can against war and build our protection on so secure a foundation and maintain its efficiency so systematically that our own institutions, ideals and interests may be secure and that we may be able to hand down to our children all the benefits we have received from our fathers. God has given us eyes to see, ears to hear, and intelligence and memory to glean and carry from the lessons of the past something of wisdom to guide us in meeting the issues of the present. If we fail to make the best use of those faculties which have been given us, we must pay the penalty.

We must continue to strive for world peace, for the betterment of human conditions; we must do what we can to promote arbitration, love of justice; but

THE STRUGGLE FOR PEACE

we have no right to forget that none of these will serve to protect us against an unjust aggressor. Let us do all these good things, but at the same time take those measures of wise precaution which the experience of time and of all people teaches, that we may be prepared to defend with force those things which justice, honesty and fair dealing are inadequate of themselves to defend? As Cromwell said: "Trust in God — but keep your powder dry." In other words, do right, but do not trust to that alone. The highwayman is not especially concerned with the morals of the man whose purse he covets, nor is the great nation struggling for trade and expansion disposed to give especial consideration to the morals of the people standing in her way. Every nation does, however, give serious and prompt heed to

AMERICA'S DUTY

the strength and ability of another to hold and protect what she has.

After going over the evidence of past results and present conditions, we must realize that arbitration to-day cannot as a rule be depended upon for protection or even as a means of delaying a resort to force, except in such cases as are not of vital importance to either of the disputants. Questions of citizenship, cases arising under the Monroe Doctrine — in which we are particularly interested — are among those which cannot well be turned over to arbitration. Our interests in them are vital.

Preparedness to resist injustice or attack with force tends to amplify the possibilities of successful arbitration, as the cost and danger of the struggle and the uncertainty of the outcome are evident. Preparedness lends weight to just

THE STRUGGLE FOR PEACE

claims and makes the would-be aggressor hesitate. It is the well-guarded house in which the robber sees the danger and realizes the cost. It does not mean that the people of the house are less just because they have had the good sense to recognize conditions and take the wise measure of protection.

All arbitration has a much better chance of success when each party realizes that the other has the ability to make strong opposition to unjust claims. A country unable to defend her rights on land and sea is not the country to determine whether arbitration or force is to be resorted to. It is the strong, well-prepared nation which will determine whether a dispute is to be settled by arms or arbitration, not the weak and unprepared one.

Washington's words still hold good:

AMERICA'S DUTY

“To be prepared for war is one of the most effectual means of preserving peace.” The assertion so often made that preparedness increases the probability of war, is unsound from every standpoint, unless those who make the assertion assume that we should not engage in war in any case but rather submit passively to whatever demands are made upon us. The resort to aggressive force will always be governed to a certain extent by the question of cost — cost in men and treasure. If no serious resistance is possible on the part of one party to a dispute, the temptation of the stronger and better prepared to use force is great; if the reverse is the case, consideration and a disposition to arbitrate may be counted on.

Every dictate of common sense, the teaching of history and the lessons of

THE STRUGGLE FOR PEACE

the moment, suggest strongly and unmistakably the urgent necessity of the organization of the might of the nation, in order that we may be ready to meet force with force, if other means fail. Reliance on peace treaties is not a safe policy. Experience shows they often mean little in the face of a great crisis threatening the life and interests of a nation.

Preparedness does not mean militarism or an aggressive military spirit; it means simply the application to the military questions of the day of something of the experience and lessons of the past as well as those of the present. A man armed against thieves is not prone to become a thief unless he is one at heart. A nation can be strong without being immoral or a bully. Militarism, as indicated by the existence of a military class

AMERICA'S DUTY

demanding and receiving special consideration and precedence and exercising an undue influence in the internal affairs of the nation or upon its international relations, is to be avoided beyond peradventure. But effective preparedness can be had without a trace of this condition, as illustrated by Switzerland and France — taking only representative forms of government as examples.

The entire trend of our sentiment, past and present, disproves the possibility of such a condition of affairs. No class of the population is more opposed to the establishment of a condition of militarism than the army itself. The army is absolutely democratic, representing, as it does, all classes of the people. The great danger which confronts our people is that which arises from an ignorance of the organization

THE STRUGGLE FOR PEACE

and capacity for the prompt use of highly organized force on the part of all the great nations except China and ourselves. While talking peace and arbitration we are, through wealth, commercial aggressiveness and heedlessness as to preparation to defend our rights and properties, one of the great menaces to peace. Lack of intelligent preparedness cannot promote peace; it can and does prejudice its continuance and will certainly serve to prolong and make more deadly the effects of war.

It is an insult to us as a people to assume that we cannot be strong and prepared to fight for the right without becoming likely to use our power for wrong. This is the cant of weaklings who have no strong convictions of right for which they are willing to die, if need be. Let us drop cant and hypoc-

AMERICA'S DUTY

risiness and be sure that we can be both strong enough to protect our own rights and interests, and just and self-restrained enough, even though strong, to respect those of others. There is no real basis for assuming that if we prepare to resist aggression we are likely to become aggressors.

We have no right to jeopardize all we have and hold most dear by failing to organize and prepare our strength because of the fear that if strong, organized and ready, our nation may become an international highwayman. Rubbish and cant of the faint-hearted! Lacking the spirit which places principles and honor above fear and wounds!

Peace treaties — international law — they should be observed, but they are not always. Where are those who trusted them and forgot that force is still

THE STRUGGLE FOR PEACE

to be reckoned with? The great Peace Palace stands empty in the land of a prosperous, industrious people at present under arms to protect their own neutrality. None of the causes of the greatest war of the ages has been or is being heard within its walls. International law has been too often only the will of the strongest and may be again. It is at the best but a feeble staff to lean on, when issues involving the life of a nation, or nations, are involved.

As Washington said: "The best way to make a good peace is to have a good army," — using the word "army" in the sense of military force, which includes the navy; and he might have said that the best way to preserve peace is to be prepared against war. We should favor preparedness not only on the grounds of safety, but on the grounds of human-

AMERICA'S DUTY

ity, for it is a brutal waste of life to send an undisciplined and untrained people into war, and war will come, from time to time, do what we may to try to avoid it. No nation does more to tempt others to war than one which, possessing much of the trade and more than her proportion of the wealth of the world, fails to make adequate preparations to guard what she has.

CHAPTER III

PAST NATIONAL POLICY

"A government is the murderer of its citizens which sends them to the field uninformed and untaught, where they are to meet men of the same age and strength mechanized by education and disciplined for battle."—*General Richard Henry Lee.*

We are a warlike, but not a military people; that is to say, we are quick to resent injury and ready to meet force with force, but we are not organized to employ force effectively. We are commercially aggressive; we are exceedingly rich. We never have submitted and are still indisposed to submit ourselves to discipline or preparation. We spend human life like water and pay

AMERICA'S DUTY

with blood and treasure for the lack of ordinary intelligent preparation. We are not so much unready to resort to war for the right if need be as we are unprepared to wage it. We hate militarism, object to large standing armies, and properly, and we can continue so to do and still make full preparation on lines not at variance with our ideals or the principles laid down by the founders.

In our country peace societies are not a new idea. The New York Peace Society was founded in 1815, and as long ago as 1827 there were many peace societies in the United States. The effort has passed through many stages; the pacifists of to-day must not flatter themselves that they have discovered that war is brutal. Cicero emphasized it in his day. Seneca characterized war as

PAST NATIONAL POLICY

“plain insanity.” If it could be stopped by pointing out that it is brutal and gives pain, it would have been stopped long ago.

We must realize that there are two types of peace. There is the peace of Rome under Augustus, which was a real peace, and Rome and Roman citizens were respected by their neighbors; and there is the other type, the peace of Honorius, in whose time pacifists prated as they do at present. Nonresistance was the theory. Emperor Honorius raised poultry and the barbarians overran the empire. In the first instance there was peace with honor and dignity; in the second instance the empire was overrun, a civilization almost destroyed through failure to listen to the teachings of history and make reasonable, rational preparation. It was the sort

AMERICA'S DUTY

of peace which has existed in China. It was the kind of peace which marks the decadence of a nation. It goes hand in hand with the policy advocated by the peace-at-any-price people of to-day.

Our early presidents were most of them truly great men, lovers of peace; some of them had participated in war, and all of them had lived through periods of war. They were just and upright in character. What was their advice to our people? Washington says, in his first annual address:

“To be prepared for war is one of the most effectual means of preserving peace. A free people ought not only to be armed, but disciplined; to which end a uniform and well digested plan is requisite; and their safety and interest require that they should promote such manufactures as tend to render

PAST NATIONAL POLICY

them independent of others for essential, particularly military, supplies."

In his third annual message, speaking of the militia, which under the provisions of the organic law included men from eighteen to forty-five, Washington said:

"The safety of the United States, under divine protection, ought to rest on the basis of systematic and solid arrangements, exposed as little as possible to the hazards of fortuitous circumstances."

In his fifth annual message he made this statement:

"I cannot recommend to your notice measures for the fulfillment of our duties to the rest of the world without again pressing upon you the necessity of placing ourselves in a condition of complete defense and of extracting from them

AMERICA'S DUTY

the fulfillment of their duties towards us. The United States ought not to indulge a persuasion that, contrary to the order of human events, they will forever keep at a distance those painful appeals to arms with which the history of every other nation abounds. There is a rank due to the United States among nations which will be withheld, if not absolutely lost, by the reputation of weakness. If we desire to avoid insult we must be able to repel it; if we desire to secure peace, one of the most powerful instruments of our rising prosperity, it must be known that we are at all times ready for war."

In Washington's eighth annual address, speaking of the country's inability to protect its commerce, he said:

"Will it not then be advisable to begin without delay to provide and lay

PAST NATIONAL POLICY

up materials for the building and equipping of ships of war and to proceed in the work by degrees in proportion as our resources shall render it practicable without inconvenience, so that a future war in Europe may not find our commerce in the same unprotected state in which it was found during the present?"

John Adams, in a special message, stated: "With a view and as a measure which even in time of universal peace ought not to be neglected, I recommend to your consideration a revision of the laws for organizing, arming and disciplining the militia, to render that natural and safe defense of the country efficacious."

In his second annual message, which dealt with our relations with France, President Adams declared: "But in demonstrating by our conduct that we

AMERICA'S DUTY

do not fear war in the necessary protection of our rights and honor, we should give no room to infer that we abandon the desire of peace. An efficient preparation for war can alone secure peace. We ought, without loss of time, to lay the foundations for that increase of our navy to a size sufficient to guard our coasts and protect our trade."

Thomas Jefferson, in his fifth annual message, advocated: "The organization of 300,000 able-bodied men between the ages of 18 and 26, for defense at any time or at any place where they may be wanted."

In a letter to James Monroe, from Monticello, dated June 19, 1813, Jefferson wrote:

"It proves more forcibly the necessity of obliging every citizen to be a

PAST NATIONAL POLICY

soldier. This was the case with the Greeks and Romans, and must be that of every free state. Where there is no oppression there will be no pauper hirelings. We must train and classify the whole of our male citizens, and make military instruction a regular part of collegiate education. We can never be safe till this is done."

This letter was written fourteen months before the fiasco at Bladensburg and the burning of Washington. Again he says:

"If war be forced upon us in spite of our long and vain appeals to the justice of nations, rapid and vigorous movement at the outset will go far toward securing us in its course and issue, and toward throwing its burdens on those who render necessary the resort from reason to force.

AMERICA'S DUTY

“Considering the conditions of the times in which we live, our attention should unremittingly be fixed on the safety of our country. For a people who are free and who mean to remain so, a well-organized and armed militia is their best security.”

One might continue almost indefinitely to quote from the messages and state papers of our presidents, concerning this great matter of defense, organization and readiness.

General Henry Knox, when Secretary of War, sent to President Washington, on January 18, 1790, a plan which provided for the enrolling, classifying and training of all able-bodied men from eighteen to sixty years of age. General Knox refers to the fact that this plan had been previously presented to Washington, had been modified some-

PAST NATIONAL POLICY

what, and as now finally presented had Washington's approval. Among other things he states in his letter of transmission:

“It had been my anxious desire to devise a national system of defense adequate to the probable exigencies of the United States, whether arising from internal or external causes; and at the same time to erect a standard of republican magnanimity, independent of, and superior to, the powerful influence of wealth.”

Both Washington and Knox had had unfortunate experiences with the untrained militia during the Revolution, and the plan they now proposed was not one which contemplated the use of militia as it was used during the Revolution, but it was, in effect, the forerunner of the idea voiced by Jefferson in 1813,

AMERICA'S DUTY

namely, the organizing, classifying and training of the male population. General Knox precedes his plan by a long introduction, much of which was apparently written by Washington. Among other statements therein made, worthy of note are the following:

“ But it is at the same time acknowledged that, unless a republic prepares itself by proper arrangements to meet those exigencies to which all states are in a degree liable, its peace and existence are more precarious than the forms of government in which the will of one directs the conduct of the whole, for the defense of the nation.

“ It is the intention of the present attempt to suggest the most efficient system of defense which may be compatible with the interests of a free people — a system which will not only pro-

PAST NATIONAL POLICY

duce the expected effect, but which, in its operations, shall also produce those habits and manners which will impart strength and durability to the whole government.

“ All discussions on the subject of a powerful militia will result in one or other of the following principles:

“ First: Either efficient institutions must be established for the military education of the youth, and that the knowledge acquired therein shall be diffused throughout the community by the means of rotation; or,

“ Secondly: That the militia must be formed of substitutes, after the manner of the militia of Great Britain.

“ If the United States possesses the vigor of mind to establish the first institution, it may be reasonably expected to produce the most unequivocal advan-

AMERICA'S DUTY

tages. A glorious national spirit will be introduced, with its extensive train of political consequences. The youth will imbibe a love of their country; reverence and obedience to its laws; courage and elevation of mind; openness and liberality of character, accompanied by a just spirit of honor; in addition to which their bodies will acquire robustness, greatly conducive to their personal happiness, as well as the defense of their country, while habit, with its silent but efficacious operations, will cement the system.

“Every intelligent mind would rejoice in the establishment of an institution, under whose auspices the youth and vigor of the constitution would be renewed with each successive generation, and which would appear to secure the great principles of freedom and happiness against the injuries of time and events.”

PAST NATIONAL POLICY

General Knox then concludes his letter with the following summary:

“First: That it is the indispensable duty of every nation to establish all necessary institutions for its own perfection and defense.

“Secondly: That it is a capital security to a free state for the great body of the people to possess a competent knowledge of the military art.

“Thirdly: That this knowledge cannot be attained, in the present state of society, but by establishing adequate institutions for the military education of the youth; and that the knowledge acquired therein should be diffused throughout the community by the principles of rotation.

“Fourthly: That every man of the proper age and ability of body, is firmly bound, by the special compact, to per-

AMERICA'S DUTY

form personally his proportion of military duty for the defense of the state.

“Fifthly: That all men of the legal military age should be armed, enrolled and held responsible for different degrees of military service.

“And, sixthly: That, agreeably to the Constitution, the United States are to provide for arming, organizing and disciplining the militia, and for governing such a part of it as may be employed in the service of the United States, reserving to the states, respectively, the appointment of the officers and the authority of training the militia according to the discipline prescribed by Congress.”

This plan, briefly stated, consisted of the grouping of physically and mentally fit men, between the ages of eighteen and sixty years of age, into three corps.

PAST NATIONAL POLICY

The young men between eighteen and twenty-one years of age formed the Advance Corps, and the men between twenty-one and forty-five formed the Main Corps. There was a third, or Reserve Corps, which consisted of men from forty-five to sixty years of age. The plan further provided that these first and second groups should be organized into various military units; that the young men of eighteen and nineteen years of age should receive thirty days' training in camp each year; the men of twenty, ten days of training in camp each year; the men from twenty-one to forty-five, four days of training each year.

This was a federal force and it was to be equipped, armed and subsisted at the expense of the United States; its members were required to take an oath

AMERICA'S DUTY

of allegiance to the state and to the United States. Herein was an element of weakness. A force of this kind, or any kind of national force, should be purely a federal force. Its officers should be appointed by the president on the federal authority and it should be available for service within or without the United States. The plan was a great advance over anything hitherto proposed, inasmuch as it recognized the necessity for general military training. The training of these troops was to be prescribed by the United States.

The early plan was a tremendous improvement over the militia idea finally adopted. It would have resulted in the general military training of our people and the dissemination of a knowledge of our military policy. It represented an appreciation of the necessity for mili-

PAST NATIONAL POLICY

tary training. Had this system been adopted, the War of 1812 would probably never have occurred. Or, if it had occurred, we should have been quickly successful in obtaining our objective. Both Washington and Knox recognized the economic efficiency which would be gained by this training, and they also realized that a tremendous improvement in citizenship would result. They did not expect the men from forty-five to sixty to serve in the first line, but they saw that they would be a valuable asset on the lines of communication, depots, and other important fields of activity where the highest degree of physical excellence is not required. This proposed act, if it had been passed and put into effect, would have saved many tens of thousands of lives and many hundreds of millions of money.

AMERICA'S DUTY

If the advice of our early presidents was sound at the time it was given, when the ocean was a real barrier instead of, as at the present time, the readiest means of approach, once sea control is lost; when troops were moved over sea by sailing ships of relatively small capacity; when none of the great nations contained large, highly organized and equipped armies prepared for prompt movement in any direction; when the arms of war were simple and easy of manufacture and easy to acquire familiarity with; when we had little in the way of commerce or wealth to tempt aggression; how much more sound is it now, when all great nations have highly organized armies, large reserves of men and material, adequate equipment of all kinds?

Since then steam has divided time

PAST NATIONAL POLICY

and distance by ten; the arms of war are most intricate and require a long time to manufacture, and it takes a still longer time to teach men to use them effectively; our wealth has enormously increased; our commerce spreads over the earth and we hold great areas far beyond our continental limits; our people are unskilled in the use of arms, and our population as a whole has little appreciation of its military obligation. There is no question but that the advice of our early presidents is entitled to much more attention to-day than when given.

This country has never engaged single-handed in a war with a nation of the first class prepared for war. We have absolutely no conception of what modern war means when conducted by a nation organized and ready in men and material. It is to be hoped that we may

AMERICA'S DUTY

never have this experience, certainly not until we have learned something from the experience of others, something from the lessons of the past as well as those of the present.

We have no markedly superior military virtues; as a people, the blood of all peoples runs in our veins. We live under a form of government which tends to develop individuality and self-confidence, good qualities if coördinated and harmonized by discipline. But there is nothing which indicates peculiar or superior military excellence, and there is nothing in our military history upon which we can found such an assumption. We have splendid material for soldiers, if trained, but without training that material is relatively of little value.

There seems to be a general impression that, having blundered through our

PAST NATIONAL POLICY

past wars with a hideously unnecessary expenditure of life and treasure, somehow or other we shall continue to blunder on successfully, regardless of lack of preparation on our part or of thorough organization and preparation on the part of our possible antagonist. Such an opinion is entirely unwarranted. Thorough preparation is absolutely indispensable.

General Harry Lee — popularly known as “Light-Horse Harry” — stated at the end of the Revolution that the nation was the murderer of its men which sent them untrained and undisciplined to meet equally good men, mechanized and disciplined by training. These words were true when they were uttered and they are true to-day, and they apply with peculiar force to our own people. It is not enough to be willing — we must be

AMERICA'S DUTY

prepared. One would not think of putting into a lifeboat men who could neither row nor swim; and yet we assume to send them into battle undisciplined and untrained, unfamiliar with the use of arms, where they are to meet men trained to the minute. It is murder — nothing else.

If a lot of men physically of the right type presented themselves for the crews or for the teams of a university and said they were willing to go into athletics, but would not train, they would receive scant courtesy at the hands of their college mates. Experience in athletics has taught that success is absolutely dependent upon thorough preparation; and the would-be athlete who assumed that he could meet, with any hope of success, an equally good man, physically fit and trained in all the

PAST NATIONAL POLICY

details of the game, would be looked upon as little better than a fool.

So it is with professional soldiers, who have devoted their lives to their work: they see the folly of the idle declarations of the Fourth of July orator, or the equally fatuous and misleading statements of the men who say that we, by virtue of peculiar qualities, are superior to equally good men, trained and ready. Such vain boasts are more than foolish — they are dangerous. They strike at the very life of the nation. If we heed them longer we shall repent in sackcloth and ashes.

While students of military policy and our professional soldiers of the best type — not the machine-cut-and-dried type, but the soldiers with learning and imagination — have always recognized that campaigns are won in the preparations

AMERICA'S DUTY

for them, our people have never appreciated this great truth, nor do they realize that thorough organization of the nation's resources in men, material and money is necessary to a success which shall be characterized by the minimum loss of blood and treasure. Battles are won as well as lost in the national legislature, in the offices of the administration, in departments, as well as in the field. Failure to provide means for conducting the war, neglect properly to organize, undue interference by non-technical persons in the direction of that highly specialized and technical business, war, the direction of operations to meet political demands of the hour, all contribute, with fateful force, to the outcome of the armed struggle.

Under our procedure in the past, the soldier too often has had little to say

PAST NATIONAL POLICY

in the great question of preparation in its varied forms, involving organization, supply and equipment, and only too often has found himself like a sailor put on board a ship in a gale of wind — a ship built not by professional shipbuilders — a ship of whose equipment and personnel he is largely ignorant. All he can do is to make the best of a bad situation, reorganize and re-equip in the face of a storm. So it has been only too often with our soldiers, called to lead badly organized, uninstructed, half-armed bodies of troops without previous training. This describes, in a general way, the situation which has existed at the beginning of our wars in the past. These conditions should not be possible in future wars; but they will be unless we study thoroughly the question in all its aspects, and take wise measures of

AMERICA'S DUTY

precaution and make such preparation as the experience of the past and the best information of the present indicate.

When our people offer their bodies and their lives to the nation for service in war in the nation's defense, they have a right to demand that these sacrifices shall be made — if made they must be — under conditions which minimize the probability of disaster from lack of preparation, instruction, arms, equipment or organization, both on the fighting line and behind it.

Preparation will tend to make the struggle as brief as possible, and reduce the cost in life and treasure to the lowest possible limit. Our people have never entered into war with any of these assurances. They have gone into it blindly, uninformed as to the necessity of the hundred and one things which make for

PAST NATIONAL POLICY

preparation and which are the sure foundations of success. National defense begins with the people, and must find its main support among their representatives, for, as John Adams said, "National defense is one of the cardinal duties of a statesman; the soldier can only endorse when asked; the statesman must advocate, and the legislative body enact."

Only too often do we find men who should know better, speaking of our great military resources, forgetting that unless developed and organized they will be of no more value in the quick onrush of modern war, initiated by a prepared nation, than would an undeveloped gold mine in Alaska be in a crisis in Wall Street. The fact that a nation has resources does not help if those resources are undeveloped and unavailable. If

AMERICA'S DUTY

modern war emphasizes any one thing above another, it is that resources of all kinds must be promptly available and organized. Mere numbers, untrained, unorganized and unequipped, mean little; no wolf was ever frightened by the size of a flock of sheep.

As one considers the conduct of our various wars from the standpoint of military efficiency and economy in life and treasure, there is but one conclusion possible, and that is that our lack of system has been not only unduly expensive from every standpoint but that it has led to great prolongation of war, unnecessary loss of life and treasure, and consequent interference with the development of the country. In some instances that lack of organization has resulted in failure to attain the object sought.

In the Revolutionary War, Washing-

PAST NATIONAL POLICY

ton stands out conspicuously as the great coördinating, dominant figure, and the more one studies the conduct of that war the more he is impressed by the debt we owe to Washington. His sound judgment, able military leadership, and, above all, his patience and persistence, coupled with infinite tact, made it possible for him to retain the confidence of Congress and the people to an unusual extent and to hold together the poorly equipped and hastily assembled raw levies which formed the bulk of the Revolutionary armies.

CHAPTER IV

LESSONS OF THE REVOLUTION

“Against stupidity the very gods themselves contend in vain.”—*Schiller*.

The causes leading to the Revolution had produced such effect that, as early as 1774, several of the Colonies began preparations for war with England, and a Provisional Congress was convened in Massachusetts, with John Hancock as president. This Congress appointed officers and adopted organization for the militia and made certain arrangements for the collections of supplies, equipment and provisions. The royal governor of the colony attempted to prevent the

THE REVOLUTION

assembly of this Congress, but was unsuccessful.

In the following year a second Congress assembled and appointed a Committee of Safety, with authority to raise and support a military force to resist the Acts of Paarliment. Under this authority a considerable force of militia was raised, part of it called Minute Men, or troops bound to hold themselves in readiness for instant service. This was the condition of affairs when the conflicts occurred at Lexington and Concord. A few days later, April 22nd, steps were taken formally to organize for defense against Great Britain. The Congress decided to raise an army of 30,000 men, and immediately to enroll 13,600 men within the limits of Massachusetts, trusting that the balance might be supplied by New Hampshire, Rhode

AMERICA'S DUTY

Island and Connecticut. Troops were raised by giving to anyone who succeeded in enrolling fifty-nine men, a captain's commission, and a colonel's commission to any man who could secure ten such companies. In other words, qualifications for command rested solely on the ability to enroll men.

It is not difficult to foresee the results which must necessarily follow under a system based upon such a policy. The training of the officers and their qualifications for command meant little. Of course we must not forget that the situation was an extremely difficult one. The troops had to be raised, there were relatively few trained officers in the colony, and many who had had previous military experience remained constant in their allegiance to Great Britain. Still there is no doubt that a larger proportion of

THE REVOLUTION

trained officers could have been secured had the matter of organization been more systematically undertaken. The men were courageous, and when led by officers of experience and capacity, fighting in a defensive position, and not required to maneuver in the face of an enemy, rendered brave and good service, as at Bunker Hill. The Continental Army, when Washington assumed command, consisted of a mass of raw levies, generally speaking, under incompetent officers — levies composed of men who had no idea of remaining throughout the war and undergoing thorough training.

There were many things outside the condition of the army itself which led to great embarrassment. The action at Lexington took place three weeks before the assembling of the Second Continental Congress, and compelled that

AMERICA'S DUTY

body to assume immediately the functions of civil government, but as it had no authority to levy taxes or provide a revenue, it could only issue Bills of Credit. The power to create and support armies was crippled by a financial system which was based wholly upon the faith of the people in ultimate success. If the Congress had had the power to levy taxes and raise a revenue, the war would have been much shorter and its conduct more vigorous. Moreover, the Congress was vested with both executive and legislative power and there was consequently a lack of the balance and adjustment which exists where these functions are distinct and separate. The country was dependent for its military legislation upon the decisions of a group of citizens wholly without instruction in military matters, and influenced by gen-

THE REVOLUTION

eral fear of a standing army. Washington's correspondence indicates very clearly the embarrassments and the difficulties of the situation.

The strength of the army at the time of Washington's assignment to command was about 17,000 men, all of them under short enlistment. Much had to be accomplished. It was absolutely essential to organize a force which would owe its allegiance to the United Colonies, and in June, with this end in view, Congress authorized the raising of ten companies of riflemen in Pennsylvania, Maryland and Virginia, with a term of enlistment of one year. This was the nucleus of the army which finally achieved American independence. During the year both infantry and artillery were added. The enlistments were still for a short period, and did not extend beyond the end of

AMERICA'S DUTY

the following year. The terms of enlistment of the troops thereby enrolled mostly expired at or near the end of 1775. As it was necessary promptly to raise troops to replace them and to add to those already enrolled, Congress decided to raise twenty-six regiments: sixteen in Massachusetts, five in Connecticut, two in Rhode Island, and three in New Hampshire. Washington was authorized to appoint the officers. This resulted in a condition to which Washington refers in various letters.

On November 11, 1775, he writes as follows:

“The trouble I have in the arrangement of the army is really inconceivable. Many of the officers sent in their names to serve in expectation of promotion; others stood aloof to see what advantage they could make for themselves, while a

THE REVOLUTION

number, who have declined, have again sent in their names to serve. So great has the confusion arising from these and many other perplexing circumstances been that I found it absolutely impossible to fix this very interesting business exactly on the plan resolved on in the conference, though I have kept up to the spirit of it as near as the nature and necessity of the case would permit.

“The difficulty with the soldiers is as great, indeed, more so, if possible, than with the officers. They will not enlist until they know their colonel, lieutenant-colonel, major, and captain, so that it was necessary to fix the officers the first thing, which is, at last, in some manner done, and I have given out enlisting orders.”

And on November 28th he continues:

“The number enlisted since my last

AMERICA'S DUTY

is two thousand five hundred and forty men. I am sorry to be necessitated to mention to you the egregious want of public spirit which reigns here. Instead of pressing to be engaged in the cause of their country, which I vainly flattered myself would be the case, I find we are likely to be deserted in a most critical time. Those that have enlisted must have a furlough, which I have been obliged to grant to fifty at a time, from each regiment. The Connecticut troops, upon whom I reckoned, are as backward, indeed, if possible, more so than the people of this colony. Our situation is truly alarming, and of this General Howe is well apprised, it being the common topic of conversation when the people left Boston last Friday. No doubt when he is reinforced he will avail himself of the information."

THE REVOLUTION

And in a private letter a little later, he describes conditions in the following words:

“ Such a dearth of public spirit and such want of virtue, such stock-jobbing and fertility in all the low arts to obtain advantages of one kind or another in this great change of military arrangement I never saw before, and pray God’s mercy that I may never be witness to again. What will be the end of these maneuvers is beyond my scan. I tremble at the prospect. We have been till this time enlisting about three thousand five hundred men. To engage these I have been obliged to allow furloughs as far as fifty men to a regiment, and the officers, I am persuaded, indulge as many more. The Connecticut troops will not be prevailed upon to stay longer than their term, saving those who have enlisted

AMERICA'S DUTY

for the next campaign, and are mostly on furlough; and such a mercenary spirit pervades the whole that I should not be at all surprised at any disaster that may happen. In short, after the last of this month our lines will be so weakened that the Minute Men and militia must be called in for their defense, and these being under no kind of government themselves, will destroy the little subordination I have been laboring to establish, and run me into one evil while I am endeavoring to avoid another; but the lesser must be chosen."

These letters point out very plainly the conditions which existed. Another important thing to remember is that these occurrences took place during a period when our forefathers were struggling for independence, when, as we were taught in school, a spirit of patriotism

THE REVOLUTION

and self-sacrifice stirred the country. The foregoing extracts from Washington's letters show the real situation. It was extremely difficult to secure troops for the armed forces. Men came only for short periods of time, and insisted upon the election of their officers. Discipline was poor, and such as there was, was difficult of enforcement. In fact, the situation was more or less one of military chaos, and it was only Washington's remarkable personality that made it possible to hold together these discordant elements in the form of a fighting force.

We soon went to the bounty, small at first, but gradually increased. In 1778 freedom was offered by Rhode Island to negroes if they would enlist. The difficulty in increasing the Continental forces augmented instead of dimin-

AMERICA'S DUTY

ished from year to year. Washington was twice empowered with dictatorial powers. The colonial assemblies singly and collectively made special efforts to secure troops but there seems to have been lacking a sense of individual responsibility for soldier service and the result was that our greatest force was in 1776, when we had 89,000 troops, 47,000 Continentals, 42,000 militia. The Continentals were really short service troops. From this year on the strength of the American force steadily decreased until, in 1781, the force was only a trifle over 29,400 men. At no time during the war did Washington have an effective force of 20,000 men in line, notwithstanding the fact that nearly 400,000 men were enrolled during the war.

One of the principal causes of difficulty during the war was that control

THE REVOLUTION

of military matters rested with the Continental Congress, and that body was jealous of a standing army, knew little of military matters, and was inclined to make economies which resulted in vast expenditures through extending the war and rendering unavailing such expenditures as had previously been made. Washington did everything a man could do in his position, and he accomplished miracles. We were fortunate in this war in receiving at a critical time the invaluable assistance of France, and from the further fact that the contention of the Colonies was supported by a strong party in England. The difficulties which Washington encountered can best be appreciated by soldiers who realize what it means to make new armies practically every year. Large forces of militia were called in from time to time but they

AMERICA'S DUTY

were almost useless. Washington's opinion of men raised in this manner without training and without discipline, was expressed as follows:

“To place any dependence upon militia is assuredly resting upon a broken staff. Men just dragged from the tender scenes of domestic life, unaccustomed to the din of arms, totally unacquainted with every kind of military skill (which is followed by want of confidence in themselves when opposed by troops regularly trained, disciplined, and appointed, superior in knowledge and superior in arms) are timid and ready to fly from their own shadows.

“Relaxed and unfit as our rules and regulations of war are for the government of an army, the militia (these properly so called, for of these we have two sorts, the six-months men and those sent

THE REVOLUTION

in as a temporary aid) do not think themselves subject to them, and therefore take liberties which the soldier is punished for. This creates jealousy, jealousy begets dissatisfaction, and this by degrees ripens into mutiny, keeping the whole army in a confused and disordered state, rendering the time of those who wish to see regularity and good order prevail more unhappy than words can describe. Besides this, such repeated changes take place that all arrangement is set at naught and the constant fluctuation of things deranges every plan as fast as it is adopted.

“ Those, sir, Congress may be assured, are but a small part of the inconveniences which might be enumerated and attributed to militia, but there is one that merits particular attention, and that is the expense. Certain I am that it

AMERICA'S DUTY

would be cheaper to keep 50,000 or 100,000 in constant pay than to depend upon half the number and supply the other half occasionally by militia. The time the latter are in pay before and after they are in camp, assembling and marching, the waste of ammunition, the consumption of stores, which in spite of every resolution or requisition of Congress, they must be furnished with or sent home, added to other incidental expenses consequent upon their coming and conduct in camp, surpass all idea and destroy every kind of regularity and economy which you could establish among fixed and settled troops, and will, in my opinion prove, if the scheme is adhered to, the ruin of our cause."

During the war various reorganizations took place; the conditions were somewhat improved through the gradual

THE REVOLUTION

acquisition of a small nucleus of trained officers; but the old vicious conditions concerning the method of raising men, short terms of enlistments, rather than enlistments for the war, bounties, desertions, continued. Bounties grew from small sums to sums which, in those days, were small fortunes and the foundation was laid for a procedure which was most vicious and tended to corrupt the patriotism of the nation: namely, the bounty system, or the buying of men to discharge their military obligations to the nation.

Washington's opinion of our military policy is found in a letter to the president of Congress, August 20, 1780:

“Had we formed a permanent army in the beginning, which, by the continuance of the same men in service, had been capable of discipline, we never

AMERICA'S DUTY

should have had to retreat with a handful of men across the Delaware in 1776, trembling for the fate of America, which nothing but the infatuation of the enemy could have saved; we should not have remained all the succeeding winter at their mercy, with sometimes scarcely a sufficient body of men to mount the ordinary guards, liable at every moment to be dissipated, if they had only thought proper to march against us; we should not have been under the necessity of fighting Brandywine, with an unequal number of raw troops, and afterwards of seeing Philadelphia fall a prey to a victorious army; we should not have been at Valley Forge with less than half the force of the enemy, destitute of everything, in a situation neither to resist nor to retire; we should not have seen New York left with a handful of men,

THE REVOLUTION

yet an overmatch for the main army of these States, while the principal part of their force was detached for the reduction of two of them; we should not have found ourselves this spring so weak as to be insulted by 5,000 men, unable to protect our baggage and magazines, their security depending on a good countenance and a want of enterprise in the enemy; we should not have been the greatest part of the war inferior to the enemy, indebted for our safety to their inactivity, enduring frequently the mortification of seeing inviting opportunities to ruin them pass unimproved for want of a force which the country was completely able to afford, and of seeing the country ravaged, our towns burnt, the inhabitants plundered, abused, murdered, with impunity from the same cause.

AMERICA'S DUTY

“Nor have the ill effects been confined to the military line. A great part of the embarrassments in the civil departments flow from the same source. The derangement of our finances is essentially to be ascribed to it. The expenses of the war and the paper emissions have been greatly multiplied by it. We have had a great part of the time two sets of men to feed and pay — the discharged men going home and the levies coming in. This was more remarkably the case in 1775 and 1776. The difficulty and cost of engaging men have increased at every successive attempt, till among the present lines we find there are some who have received \$150 in specie for five months' service, while our officers are reduced to the disagreeable necessity of performing the duties of drill sergeants to them, with this mortifying

THE REVOLUTION

reflection annexed to the business, that by the time they have taught these men the rudiments of a soldier's duty their services will have expired and the work recommenced with a new set. The consumption of provisions, arms, accouterments, and stores of every kind has been doubled in spite of every precaution I could use, not only from the cause just mentioned, but from the carelessness and licentiousness incident to militia and irregular troops. Our discipline also has been much hurt, if not ruined, by such constant changes. The frequent calls upon the militia have interrupted the cultivation of the land, and of course have lessened the quantity of its produce, occasioned a scarcity, and enhanced the prices. In an army so unstable as ours order and economy have been impracticable. No person who has been a close

AMERICA'S DUTY

observer of the progress of our affairs can doubt that our currency has depreciated without comparison more rapidly from the system of short enlistments than it would have done otherwise.

“There is every reason to believe that the war has been protracted on this account. Our opposition being less, the successes of the enemy have been greater. The fluctuation of the army kept alive their hopes, and at every period of the dissolution of a considerable part of it they have flattered themselves with some decisive advantages. Had we kept a permanent army on foot the enemy could have had nothing to hope for, and would in all probability have listened to terms long since.”

There is no reason to believe that the Washington opinions, as expressed, underwent any essential change. War

THE REVOLUTION

drew its weary length along, with constantly changing personnel and small and ineffective commands. The year of 1781 was marked by a mutiny of troops of the Pennsylvania line. Our regular officers had become skilled and able and were making the best possible use of the inferior troops furnished them. Following the junction of the French and American troops came the operations against Yorktown and the capture of Cornwallis. This was the last battle of the Revolution. The United States had employed during the war 395,858 troops. Their forces were strongest in 1776. The British forces at the outbreak of the war numbered 20,121, while at the end they amounted to 42,075. The military events which had a strong bearing upon the expulsion of the British were, first, the capture of Burgoyne, and, sec-

AMERICA'S DUTY

ondly, that of Cornwallis, an event which was made possible only by the strong coöperation of the French forces on sea and land. The prosecution of the war by the British had not been at any time especially vigorous.

The lack of centralized power was felt throughout the Revolution, and we have the curious picture of an alliance of states engaged in war viewing with suspicion a standing army, and yet on two occasions forced to give to the commander of these forces dictatorial power. Embarrassing complications occurred from the tendency to the exercise of power by the states. They assumed at critical moments a quasi-independent attitude, as illustrated by the action of Governor Thomas Jefferson in detaining the Virginia militia for home defense when it was urgently required by General

THE REVOLUTION

Greene; and by the action of the people of Boston in fitting out (without consulting the commander-in-chief) an independent military expedition for operation against the British in Maine.

In April, 1812, the governor of Massachusetts denied the right of Congress or the president to determine when conditions justified the calling out of the militia, and claimed that this right is vested in the commanders-in-chief of militia of the various states — in other words, in the governors. At the same time Connecticut made substantially the same claim.

A little later in the same year, Vermont declared that the military strength and resources of the state must be reserved for its own defense and protection exclusively, and in the following year the same state refused to permit the mili-

AMERICA'S DUTY

tia to go to General Macomb's support. In fact, the whole structure was loosely jointed and could not have resisted a strong and well-organized attack.

Taking it as a whole, however, and considering the lack of centralized power, ignorance of the legislative and executive body in all matters military, the depreciation of currency, and that consciousness which must have existed among the troops of a lack of strong government, there was less trouble than might have been expected. Mutiny — although causes for it in the way of shortage of pay and clothing often existed — seldom occurred. The record of the Continental troops, one might say "the regular troops," was remarkably good. We had the material for both officers and men, but we lacked a strong government, organization and system. In other

THE REVOLUTION

words, there was a weak military policy and no appreciation of the military needs of the country, if the war was to have been conducted vigorously and with the minimum loss of life and expenditure of treasure.

During the revolutionary war the states formed a very loose confederacy, lacking most of the elements of strength which come from national resources properly mobilized and directed by a central authority. The Continental Congress exercised only a limited measure of control and toward the end of the war it was, to a large extent, advisory. Congress lacked the power to utilize and make available the country's military resources. The result was that at no time during the revolution was the full strength of the new-born nation brought to bear, and not only was there lack of

AMERICA'S DUTY

a strong coördinating authority, but the whole military system was fatally defective. It represented the folly of depending upon troops enlisted for short periods, untrained, poorly organized, with a constantly changing enlisted personnel. The unnecessary sacrifice of life and expenditure of treasure incident to this system and adherence to it, has followed through all our wars, as the table on the following page indicates.

As a result of this pernicious system of frequent and short enlistments, followed naturally a pension system involving tremendous expense, only a small portion of which would have been necessary had we had a sound military policy.

The policy of short enlistments, of enrolling men hastily, not only cost us unnecessarily in life and treasure, but

War	Regu- lars	Militia, Volun- teers, etc.	Opponents	Cost	Pensions Down to June 30, 1915
Revolution	231,771	164,087	About 150,605	\$350,000,000.00	\$ 70,000,000
1812	56,032	471,622	About 67,000	86,627,009.14	45,972,805
Creek	600	43,921	About 2,000	Unestimated	
Seminole					
About	1,000	5,911	About 1,000	8,004,236.53	
Black Hawk	1,341	4,638	Between 800 and 1,000	5,446,034.88	13,315,227
Florida	12,539	48,152	Between 1,200 and 2,000	69,751,611.50	
Mexican	31,024	73,532	About 46,000	88,500,208.38	49,618,948
Of the Re- bellion	67,000	2,606,341	About 1,000,000	5,371,079,778.28	4,614,643,267
Spanish	58,688	223,235	About 228,160	321,833,254.76	
Philippine	76,416	50,052	Unestimated	170,326,586.11	49,944,441

From "Military Unpreparedness of the United States," Huidekoper.

AMERICA'S DUTY

at times exercised a dangerous influence upon military operations. Arnold was forced to deliver an assault upon Quebec because of the approaching expiration of the enlistments of a large portion of his troops. Montgomery was killed, Arnold wounded, and a large part of the force killed, wounded, or taken prisoners.

Washington repeatedly refers to the loss of troops and constant change of personnel incident to this system of short enlistments.

Briefly, these are the lessons of the war: That a confederation of states, without a strong central government under the direction of citizens without experience in military matters and under conditions which permit each state to raise, arm and equip troops, is an exceedingly weak form of government for the

THE REVOLUTION

prosecution of war; that the war resources of a nation can only be employed to the greatest advantage when used as a national force under national control and direction; that undisciplined and raw levies cannot meet disciplined troops with any hope of success; that voluntary enlistments based on patriotism and the bounty cannot be relied upon to supply men for the army during a prolonged war, but that men should be enlisted for the period of the war; and, finally, that we should turn to the policy of general military training with a fixed period of obligation for all able-bodied men.

It is only by such a system that we shall be able quickly, smoothly and effectually to mobilize our forces for war. Great changes have occurred in the organization, equipment and preparedness of our possible antagonists,

AMERICA'S DUTY

and whatever system we have must be one which permits prompt mobilization of trained men. It must be one which enables us to know with certainty and exactness what our resources in men are, just when they will be available, and what their qualifications are. This is not possible under either a volunteer system or under a system of draft, initiated after war has commenced.

CHAPTER V

SEVENTY YEARS OF INEFFICIENCY

“It is better to be ready for war and not have it than to have war and not be ready for it.”—L. W.

The close of the Revolutionary War found the young nation confronted with many grave questions, among them the question of a proper military establishment. This was an object of special solicitation on the part of Washington, and he recommended in strong language the thorough training of the militia, their proper arming and equipment. By militia he meant the militia which includes all men from eighteen to forty-five years of age. The Continental Army was disbanded, excepting one battery of artil-

AMERICA'S DUTY

lery known as the Alexander Hamilton Battery, a battery which still exists in our service. Although the finances of the nation were exhausted, an attempt was made to establish a small regular establishment, a mixed regiment of infantry and artillery, ridiculously inadequate, of course. Later that regiment was expanded a little into a Legionary Corps consisting of some 2,040 non-commissioned officers and privates.

Feeble, half-hearted measures in the direction of an organization of a small military force followed during the next few years. In 1789 the War Department was organized. In 1790 there was another reorganization of the army. This organization fixed the standard at 1,216 noncommissioned officers and privates — not a formidable force. Various Indian campaigns indicated the necessity of a

YEARS OF INEFFICIENCY

stronger military establishment, and in 1791 there was a further reorganization which resulted in the addition of another regiment. Two general officers were authorized.

St. Clair's defeat emphasized the necessity of a still further increase in military establishment, as well as the inadvisability of depending upon untrained militia. This reorganization resulted in the filling up of the then existing military establishment to full strength and the addition of three regiments of infantry and certain minor additions in field and staff officers. About this time the legionary idea, which originated with Baron von Steuben, was applied to the organization of the regular army, and was proposed for the militia. The Legion was really a small, complete army in itself, a complete fighting unit, com-

AMERICA'S DUTY

posed of the different arms. General Knox, then secretary of war, strongly approved the idea for the army, and recommended its extension to include all physically and mentally fit men from eighteen to sixty years of age, with the idea of building up a trained citizen soldiery.

The legionary organization for the regular establishment was adopted, although unfortunately the general plan proposed by General Knox, to divide and classify the entire male population between eighteen and sixty, was not adopted. In 1795-7 there was further reorganization, made necessary by increasing calls for troops in connection with Indian disturbances and the Whiskey Rebellion in Pennsylvania in August, 1794. In 1798 the president was authorized to organize a provisional army in case of the exist-

YEARS OF INEFFICIENCY

ence of war or an invasion of our territory, or imminent danger. This Provincial Army was to consist of 10,000 noncommissioned officers and men, to be enlisted for a period of three years. The force was to be officered by the president. Washington was appointed commander-in-chief, with the rank of lieutenant-general. This army was never called into being. Further reorganization in 1802 resulted in a further reduction in the strength of the army. In the same year a real step forward was taken through the establishment of the Military Academy at West Point. Alexander Hamilton was the moving force behind the establishment of this splendid institution. Washington strongly approved, and three days before his death he wrote as follows to Hamilton:

“The establishment of an institution

AMERICA'S DUTY

of this kind on a respectable and extensive basis has ever been considered by me an object of primary importance to this country, and while I was in the chair of government I omitted no proper opportunity of recommending it in my public speeches and otherwise to the attention of the Legislature."

McHenry, the Secretary of War, urged the establishment of the Academy in the following words:

"It cannot be forgotten that in our Revolutionary War it was not till after several years' practice in arms, and the extension of the periods for which our soldiers were at first enlisted, that we found them at all qualified to meet on the field of battle those to whom they were opposed. The occasional brilliant and justly celebrated acts of some of our militia during that eventful period detract

YEARS OF INEFFICIENCY

nothing from this dear-bought truth.

“The great man who conducted the war of our Revolution was continually compelled to conform his conduct to the circumstances growing out of the experimental lessons just mentioned. What was the secret of his conduct? Must it be told? It may, and without exciting a blush or an uneasy sensation in any of his surviving companies in arms. He had an army of men, but he had few officers or soldiers in that army.”

The Academy provided for a force of only twenty officers and cadets, and its purpose was to provide a corps of engineers. Since the day of its foundation it has been the strong prop of our military establishment.

There were further sporadic changes in the composition of the army, and in 1808 it was increased by some five regi-

AMERICA'S DUTY

ments of infantry, a regiment of riflemen, a regiment of light artillery, and one regiment of light dragoons, enlisted for a period of five years. This was the result, principally, of the increasing probability of war with Great Britain. In March, 1812, an attempt was made to organize a Quartermaster's Department, Commissary Department, Ordnance Department, and during this year an increase was authorized in the number of cadets at the Military Academy. Under this act, the maximum number of cadets was fixed at 250.

On June 18, 1812, war was declared against Great Britain. Our regular army had been greatly increased immediately preceding the outbreak of the war, and now consisted of some 36,700 men on paper. This number was rapidly increased, from time to time, by calling

YEARS OF INEFFICIENCY

in volunteers for militia. We had apparently learned very little from the lessons of the Revolution. The war, taken as a whole, was a series of disasters and reverses on land, many of them highly discreditable in character. Our record on sea was much better, and we gained many notable successes. The men of the fleet and on the individual ships of war were better trained and better disciplined than those of the land forces. The gallant action at Lundy's Lane, where there was a strong nucleus of regulars, and minor successes on the Thames, formed the bulk of our creditable actions on land during the period of the war. It should be remembered, in commenting upon the relative efficiency of the army and navy, that Congress has never delegated to the states the power to raise and maintain a navy. In 1813

AMERICA'S DUTY

there was a further increase in the strength of the regular army by twenty regiments, enlisted for a year, and some increases in the staff. There was still a general failure to appreciate the necessity of providing an adequate, well-organized military establishment. We put some 527,000 men into the war. The British regular force in this country at no time exceeded 16,800.

Generally speaking, our campaigns against Canada were hopelessly ineffective. In 1814 Commodore McDonough's brilliant victory on Lake Champlain terminated an advance which, had it not been for the naval successes, might have reached New York and cut off New England from the rest of the country. During this war, as in the Revolution, the power of a state government to interfere with military operations was illus-

YEARS OF INEFFICIENCY

trated by the action of the governor of Vermont in refusing to send militia when General Macomb called for aid. This war was signalized by the abandonment of our capital to a force about sixty per cent that of the defenders. It is true that most of the defenders were without training or discipline. Only about 1,500 of the British force of 3,500 were engaged. Our troops abandoned the capital with a loss of eight killed and eleven wounded.

The battle of New Orleans was one of the most remarkable victories recorded in our military history. It was fought two weeks after peace had been signed at Ghent. Our success was not without the element of good fortune. The British attack was a frontal attack without cover, in the face of men highly trained in the use of the rifle. While our troops

AMERICA'S DUTY

are entitled to a high degree of credit, the reports do not bring out the fact, however, that our success was largely influenced by the delay of Colonel Thornton's highly successful attack on the Americans on the west bank. Had his assault been delivered a little earlier, the American line on the other side of the river would have been untenable and the result far different. While the battle had no influence in determining peace, it served as somewhat of a consolation for a long series of disasters on land.

The navy's record in the war was excellent. It did all that a small force could have done. It aided in the victory of the Thames, saved the army from destruction at Plattsburg, and at Norfolk, Bladensburg, Baltimore and New Orleans rendered splendid service; but

YEARS OF INEFFICIENCY

at the end of the war Great Britain controlled the sea.

The entire War of 1812 was but another illustration of the unwisdom of our general policy. No well-thought-out organization in time of peace — no sound policy in the way of preparation — failure to do in time of peace those things which cannot be done in time of war. Taking the war as a whole, it was disastrous and highly discreditable to us on land. The blunders were those of the Revolution in even a more aggravated form and with less excuse, because under the Constitution the government did have the authority to bring into play the entire financial and military resources of the nation. As Upton states: “Five thousand men (British) for the period of two years brought war and devastation into our territory

AMERICA'S DUTY

and successfully withstood the misapplied power of seven millions of people."

Shortly after the conclusion of the war, the army was again reduced and we returned with more or less promptness to the old haphazard policy. In 1821 another plan of reorganization was presented. This plan contemplated the reduction of the army to 6,000 enlisted men and its maintenance as a group of skeletonized organizations. It is interesting to note, in this connection, that Mr. Calhoun, in presenting his plan, made the following statements:

"To give such an organization, the leading principles in its formation ought to be, that at the commencement of hostilities there should be nothing either to new model or to create. The only difference, consequently, between the peace and the war formation of the army ought

YEARS OF INEFFICIENCY

to be in the increased magnitude of the latter, and the only change in passing from the former to the latter should consist in giving to it the augmentation which will then be necessary.

“It is thus, and thus only, the dangerous transition from peace to war may be made without confusion or disorder, and the weakness and danger which otherwise would be inevitable, be avoided. Two consequences result from this principle: First, the organization of the staff in a peace establishment ought to be such that every branch of it should be completely formed, with such extension as the number of troops and posts occupied may render necessary; and, secondly, that the organization of the line ought as far as practicable, to be such that in passing from the peace to the war formation, the force may be suffi-

AMERICA'S DUTY

ciently augmented without adding new regiments or battalions, thus raising the army, on the basis of the peace establishment, instead of creating a new army to be added to the old, as at the commencement of the late war."

Fortunate, indeed, would we have been had this policy been adopted, provided we had a reserve of trained men to bring the organization to war strength. But it was not. At that time the possibility of sudden invasion was remote. Most of our people were familiar with the use of the rifle. There was no possibility of such concentration against us as during recent years. The proposed organization related wholly to the regular army, and did not provide for the organization of that great bulk of our force which must always come from the people themselves, who, within certain age limita-

YEARS OF INEFFICIENCY

tions, must be trained, organized and equipped in time of peace if they are to be effective in war. Following the War of 1812 came a series of Indian wars, some of them of considerable magnitude. The Seminole War, the Black Hawk War, the Florida War, were conducted with various modifications of the military establishment, but, generally speaking, the old policy was followed. Raw troops were raised to meet each emergency, with resulting tremendous expenditures of money, great loss of life and a high degree of inefficiency.

On the heels of the Florida War came the Creek campaign. Relatively large numbers of troops were engaged in these campaigns. In the Creek campaign, for instance, nearly 12,000 troops were employed. In the three wars — the Florida War, the Creek, and the Cherokee

AMERICA'S DUTY

War — we called into service the militia to the number of 48,152. To these should be added 12,539 regulars, making our total force 60,691 engaged in these little campaigns. In 1837 there was a slight increase in the regular army, and some increase in the staff corps, but there was no legislation looking to the training, disciplining and equipping under federal direction of the great body of our men known as the militia.

In 1842, immediately after the cessation of hostilities incident to the Florida campaign, the army was reduced from 12,500 to 8,500. The lessons taught by these Indian wars were the lessons of the Revolution and the War of 1812, namely, that organization and preparation for war must be made in time of peace, and that undisciplined and untrained troops, poorly organized, are the

YEARS OF INEFFICIENCY

most expensive weapons a nation can employ in war. There was needless sacrifice of life, undue prolongation of the war, tremendous and unnecessary expense. Or, as Upton sums it up, the lessons taught by this war are:

“First: That its expense was tripled, if not quadrupled, by that feature of the law of 1821 which gave the president, in times of emergency, no discretion to increase the enlisted men of the army.

“Second: That, as in every previous war, after successfully employing for short periods of service, militia and volunteers, and exhausting their enthusiasm, Congress found it more humane and economical to continue hostilities with regular troops, enlisted for the period of five years.

“Third: That for want of a well-defined peace organization, a nation of 17,000,000 of people contended for seven

AMERICA'S DUTY

years with 1,200 warriors, and finally closed the struggle without accomplishing the forcible emigration of the Indians, which was the original and sole cause of the war.

“Without dwelling on the needless sacrifice of life, these hard lessons would have been cheaply learned, could Congress, at the end of the conflict, have appreciated the value of expansive organization. By withholding from the president authority to add a few enlisted men to the army, it committed the same great error as in 1821. We shall see that this error more than doubled the cost and length of another war, which despite the mistakes of military legislation, was soon to add to the luster of our arms.”

The Mexican War furnished the next opportunity to illustrate what the United States had learned from the conduct of

YEARS OF INEFFICIENCY

previous wars. A close study of this campaign discloses the fact that so far as the methods and system are concerned, little or nothing had been learned; and although the government had ample warning of the probabilities of war, little or no preparation had been made for it. We were opposed by an enemy inferior both in organization and resources; we had a small but good nucleus of regular troops. The war was sufficiently remote from centres of influence to give our officers a better opportunity than usual to train and discipline the new levies which were sent them. Moreover, we were singularly fortunate in having as commanders and subordinates an unusually able group of officers, many of whom became the great commanders of the Civil War. The foregoing and other circumstances resulted in the conduct of

AMERICA'S DUTY

the war being effective, one might almost say, brilliant.

Palo Alto, Resaca de la Palma, Monterey, Buena Vista, on one line of operations, and Vera Cruz, Cerro Gordo, Contreras, Churubusco and El Molino del Rey, on the other, tell the story of the war. It was, taken as a whole, our most successful and best conducted war; but in remembering this success, we must not forget that the system employed was as defective as in previous wars, and that the success that we had was not due to the system, but was attained in spite of it.

The regular army had been reduced to an insignificant force in numbers — a mere nucleus — and large numbers of volunteers had to be called, resulting in huge increase in annual expenditures during the war. There was one hopeful

YEARS OF INEFFICIENCY

change, however, and that was the reduction in the proportion of militia used. This was not due to the experience of the past, but principally because the militia was not available for service outside the United States. In the War of 1812 the force of volunteers serving for twelve or more months was only twelve per cent of the total number of troops employed. In the Mexican War it was approximately eighty-eight per cent. In the War of 1812 a large force of militia and untrained volunteers was practically baffled by a force of 5,000 of the enemy's regulars. In the Mexican War a force of well-disciplined volunteers with a nucleus of regulars overthrew an army several times their number. In other words, in the Mexican War we had a good nucleus of regular troops and we had time to develop our volunteers into

AMERICA'S DUTY

trained and reasonably well-disciplined soldiers, and we used small numbers of militia. What we did was not due so much to any idea of abandoning the old system with its free use of militia, as it was to the fact that we had to conduct a war where the militia could not be used because of the constitutional limitation upon its employment outside the United States.

In this war the system of short enlistments jeopardized the success of military operations. Many of Scott's troops were enlisted under conditions which gave them the option of continuing in service or taking their discharge at the end of the year. On reaching Pueblo, he discovered that seven of his eleven regiments had decided to terminate their services at the conclusion of the year. Consequently he was stripped of a large portion of his

YEARS OF INEFFICIENCY

effective troops; had the Mexican forces been capable of further activities disaster would certainly have resulted.

We employed in the Mexican War approximately 104,000 troops of all arms, of whom only 12,000 were militia—twelve per cent of militia against approximately eighty-eight per cent in the War of 1812.

At the close of the Mexican War the army was reduced from 30,890 to 10,320. There were some minor modifications in the organization of our regiments, but, generally speaking, little change was effected by the Mexican War. Discretion was given to the president to increase the strength of organizations in case of emergency, and incident to the troubles in Utah and along the Texas border, the president was authorized to accept into service of the United States

AMERICA'S DUTY

a regiment of Texas volunteers and to raise two regiments of mounted infantry if required. There was no very important change in policy with reference to making arrangements for possible war. In other words, little had been learned from the preceding wars, or if learned, had not been put into practical application.

CHAPTER VI

THE PRICE OF UNPREPAREDNESS IN THE SIXTIES

“To lead an uninstructed people
into war, is to throw them away.”—
Confucius, 479 B. C.

According to Upton, at the end of 1860, with a population of 31,000,000, we had in our regular army 16,367.

That army was scattered along the western frontier and over the vast areas west of the Mississippi, along the Atlantic seacoast and the northern border, and, roughly, provided two soldiers per mile for guarding the frontier; for the area west of the Mississippi, one soldier for every 120 square miles; and for the northwestern, or the remaining portions

AMERICA'S DUTY

of the Union, one soldier for every 1,300 square miles. There was almost no well-organized, equipped and trained militia.

This was the general condition when South Carolina passed the ordinance of secession. That nothing had been learned from previous wars and that no plan of operations had been thought out or adequate stores prepared for sudden emergency, was indicated by the confusion and difficulties which followed the attempt to organize an army. The enlisted personnel of the regular army was scattered over the entire area of the country. Practically all of the enlisted men remained staunch in their adherence to the cause of the national government, but confusion and disorganization resulted in those commands which were outside the Union lines and filtered back piecemeal. The great majority of the officers

IN THE SIXTIES

retained their commissions in the national service, but many of great ability tendered their resignations and reported for duty with the forces of their states. The conduct of the governors throughout the country largely followed party lines. In the south there was a general refusal to furnish militia for the purpose of the national government. Along the border states there was a general opposition to furnishing any of these troops for national service. In Delaware a new situation arose, as illustrated by the proclamation of the government of that state in response to the call for one regiment of militia:

“Therefore I, William Burton, governor of the said State of Delaware, recommend the formation of volunteer companies for the protection of the lives and property of the people of this state

AMERICA'S DUTY

against violence of any sort to which they may be exposed. For these purposes such companies, when formed, will be under the control of the state authorities, though not subject to be ordered by the executive into the United States service, the law not vesting in him such authority. They will, however, have the option of offering their services to the general government for the defense of its capital and the support of the Constitution and laws of the country."

As a general rule, the governors of the states which refused militia, acted on their own initiative, and did not refer the matter to the state legislature. Six states to which an appeal was made for the service of militia and refused by the governors, afterwards furnished 252,000 men to the Union cause. This illustrates how completely, under the militia sys-

IN THE SIXTIES

tem, a governor can paralyze the military resources of his own state, the people of which in large part may be desirous of meeting the national demand.

The story of the militia as a whole illustrates the utter folly of depending upon any system which leaves the control of any portion of the military establishment upon which the nation must depend in war, in the hands of the governor of a state, or of anyone else other than the federal authority. The entire military force upon which the nation is to depend in war must be under the control of the federal government absolutely and completely, and be trained, disciplined and organized by it, if war is to be waged efficiently. In the turmoil and confusion of the moment, President Lincoln was compelled, as a matter of national safety, to assume dictatorial power. It was

AMERICA'S DUTY

indeed fortunate for the nation that we had at that time a man as president who was willing to assume this responsibility.

In order to meet the emergency in part, at least, President Lincoln, by proclamation, increased the strength of the regular army approximately 23,000 men, and the navy 18,000 men. This action was subsequently confirmed by Congress. In addition to the natural inevitable results of an entire lack of military policy was the condition of rebellion, which had disrupted to a certain extent the small standing army and rendered unavailable the military resources of the nation in the way of supplies. Many of the northern arsenals had been largely stripped of supplies. There was a condition of veritable military chaos. Fortunately for the safety of the country and the outcome of the war, the South was unpre-

IN THE SIXTIES

pared and had available no well organized force to take advantage promptly of the helplessness of the national government.

An attempt was made by the federal authorities to organize a force of regulars and volunteers on sound lines. The regiments were to be of three battalions, two at the front and one as a depot battalion. It was also proposed to treat volunteers as a purely federal force, the officers to be commissioned by the president. The commission, composed of regular officers whose report embodied these suggestions, acted on sound lines, but their views and recommendations were rejected, and the volunteers were, to a certain extent, state troops; to the governors was left the appointing of officers. This, coupled with the employment of untrained, poorly organized and offi-

AMERICA'S DUTY

cered militia, was another of the serious blunders in the early stages of the war.

If the proposition of the board of regular officers had been approved, we should have entered the war on a comparatively sound basis and undoubtedly terminated it in much less time than was eventually necessary, and with much less loss of life and expenditure of money; but under the policy adopted, governors of states soon showed a distinct tendency to create new regiments instead of filling up their old ones. The new regiments gave an opportunity to appoint new officers—in other words, local politics was exerting a strong influence in the building up of the military establishment. Had the appointment of officers rested with the president and the policy been adopted of keeping the old regiments full, we should have very soon had a

IN THE SIXTIES

highly effective and efficient force. The handful of regular officers and men was the nucleus around which the whole volunteer military establishment rallied.

No attempt will be made to follow in detail the conduct of the war. All that it is desired to point out is that the militia feature of the system was a failure and that the volunteer system, as such, failed both in the Northern and Southern army. The spirit of the volunteers was splendid, but the system was unsound and could not be depended upon. It failed as it had always failed and will always fail. The Confederacy was forced to resort to the draft in April, 1862; the national government published its first draft order in August, 1862, and resorted to the general draft the following year. Desertion was rampant. Such great numbers deserted that efficiency was greatly

AMERICA'S DUTY

impaired. Great numbers of officers were dismissed, and still greater numbers were got rid of as unfit for the service.

The bounty — that evil child of the Revolution — soon came into being and was in this, as in other wars, one of the strongest influences in debauching the patriotism of our people and lowering the standards of the individual appreciation of the obligation for the national service. With it went a still greater evil, namely, the purchase of substitutes. It is difficult to conceive anything more at variance with the principles of representative government and individual obligation for national service in war, than the practice of buying substitutes, a practice which made it possible for the rich to avoid service and escape the dangers and hardships of a campaign by paying other men to render their service for them.

IN THE SIXTIES

The effect of both the bounty and the purchase of substitutes was seen directly in the lowering of the general sentiment of individual obligation for service, and in the vastly increased number of desertions.

In fact, those two procedures, the bounty and the purchase of substitutes, have done more than anything else to degrade and debauch that sense of individual obligation in time of war which should animate a people. They have struck at the very foundation on which the republic rests: an appreciation and acceptance of the principle that with manhood suffrage goes manhood obligation for service.

At the end of two years the armies on both sides began to reach a state of real efficiency, but it had been gained at a great and unnecessary cost in life and

AMERICA'S DUTY

treasure. Each side was laboring under somewhat the same difficulties, although the South, as far as the conduct of the war was concerned, was far better organized, in that it waged war more as a nation than the North, which greatly weakened itself in the conduct of the war through surrendering to the governors of the states too much of the federal power in matters pertaining to the raising and officering of troops. The Confederacy really conducted the war as a nation; the Union as a confederacy. By so doing, the Confederacy added at least fifty per cent to its efficiency. New regiments were not created to the extent that they were in the North. The government was sufficiently centralized to conduct the war with a much greater degree of efficiency than was the Union government. Volunteering, as could have been expected, and

IN THE SIXTIES

doubtless was expected by all who had any knowledge of our military history, diminished after the first excitement was over, and the draft was in general application, both in the North and the South.

At the end of the Civil War we were for the first and only time in our history prepared for war with a first-class power. We had an admirable navy and army, experienced, well organized, well equipped. Our condition of preparedness was recognized by foreign governments, as indicated by the prompt evacuation of Mexico by Napoleon upon the request of this government.

Once the Mexican difficulty was settled, the strength of the regular army was gradually reduced. The strength fluctuated from year to year. In 1898 it amounted to 28,747. At the close of the Civil War, until the outbreak of the

AMERICA'S DUTY

war with Spain, the army was principally engaged in Indian operations in the West, work which was largely of a police character. Men were kept in the service as long as practical; knowledge of the language, of the country and the habits of the Indian, made the re-enlisted man valuable. It was really a military police force and not an army in the proper sense of the word. The organizations were skeletonized and kept at reduced strength.

This period was, from the standpoint of military progress, a period of dry rot, interrupted occasionally by sporadic activities incident to Indian outbreaks. The organizations were full of old soldiers. The work of the army was valuable in the highest sense as an aid in the development of the Great West, and this portion of its work is one of the most

IN THE SIXTIES

interesting from the standpoint of local history; but it was not a period marked by military progress or development. Promotion was slow; officers reached command grade when they were too old to exercise it. The militia was, generally speaking, inefficient and of little or no military value. Our regular army equipment was years behind that of the great military powers of Europe; we showed all the effects of our peaceful slumber, so far as military development went. Interest in military matters was reduced to a minimum; people were principally concerned in the development of the natural resources of the country, opening up lines of communication, building railroads, turning natural wealth into money. For a long time after the war we had available for service from a million and a half to two million men who had served

AMERICA'S DUTY

through the Civil war, and many thousands of able officers; in other words, we had an unorganaized though trained reserve.

In the thirty-three years which had elapsed between the Civil War and the war with Spain, which now began to loom up, nearly all this personnel had ceased to be valuable through age, physical disability and many other less important causes, such as change in arms and equipment. When the war with Spain began, it was at once apparent that nothing of importance whatever had been taken to heart from the lessons of the Civil War, and that we were wholly unprepared from every standpoint. We were without reserves of men, officers or material. We were using an obsolete rifle, antiquated artillery, black powder. In fact, we were a military "Rip Van

IN THE SIXTIES

Winkle.” Fortunately, our navy was stronger than the navy of our enemy, and our coasts were free from molestation.

Our condition at that time was one of disorganization and unpreparedness. On every side was lack of well-thought-out preparation. A clumsy, bureaucratic system of administration crumbled under the first pressure which was put upon it; the sanitary administration of our camps showed in many instances lack of elementary knowledge and reasonable prudence, and an entire want of discipline. There were some marked exception, but generally speaking, sanitary incompetency, together with administrative failure, served to give us a death list from diseases many times greater than that from bullets.

CHAPTER VII

THE VALUE OF PREPAREDNESS

“The safety of the United States, under divine protection, ought to rest on the basis of systematic and solid arrangements exposed as little as possible to the hazards of fortuitous circumstances.” — *George Washington, Third Annual Address.*

The safety of our country and its institutions, the opportunity to enjoy life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness under the American flag, will be jeopardized unless there is well-thought-out, well-organized preparedness — a preparedness based upon the principle that with equality in the opportunities and privileges of citizenship goes hand in hand equality of obligation for service to the nation in peace or war.

VALUE OF PREPAREDNESS

Citizenship means a great deal or it means nothing. To the savage without a country it is a meaningless word.

To the Roman it meant everything. Our nation must be prepared if our government is to give us that type of citizenship which carries with it the privilege and the honors which the word implies when applied to the citizens of a great country, a citizenship of the type implied in the words of the centurion to those who were about to scourge Saint Paul without trial, "Take heed what thou doest for this man is a Roman."

While just and tolerant we must be prepared and strong enough on land and sea so that those contemplating injury to one of our citizens may hear the warning voice "Take heed what thou doest for this man is an American."

The people whom preparedness most

AMERICA'S DUTY

concerns, both from their number and in the unfortunate result of the absence of preparedness, are the wives and the families of the men who in war constitute the rank and file of our armies. The great mass of our population, they feel more severely than any other class the results of a disastrous war—the loss of men, the loss of protectors and supporters. We desire for them a better destiny.

Avoidance of war will be rendered far more probable and peace far more secure by such well-ordered measures in the way of preparedness as will protect us against unjust aggression, and by such sound training and education of our children as will fill them with a sense of justice and fair dealing.

Whether or not the time will come when war will be controlled by a league of nations, and a discussion of difficulties

VALUE OF PREPAREDNESS

insisted upon before a resort to force, is a question which time alone can answer. We hope it may be so. In the meantime, work for this as we may and as we should, we must not forget the situation which confronts us, the conditions which surround us.

As we look back over the long and needlessly costly wars of the past, we realize how much was due to the lack of preparedness.

The practical and vital questions that now concern us are: Have we learned anything from these hideously costly and wasteful wars? Are we prepared to take steps necessary to establish a rational degree of preparedness, which will not only serve as an insurance for peace but will, if we are forced into war, make it short and limit the expenditure of life to the minimum. Or are we going on to

AMERICA'S DUTY

our next war without organization and without preparation, depending upon the unexpected, some happy chance, some dreamed-of invention, which will make good our lack of preparation, or tend to insure our protection? Men work their own miracles in matters of defense.

The only war we have to fear is war with a highly organized and thoroughly prepared power of the first class. Nothing will protect us against defeat or destruction in such a war, except the most thorough organization and careful preparation made in time of peace. We must remember the world-old slogan, than which truer words were never uttered, "In time of peace prepare for war." We might vary it by saying, "In time of peace make such preparation against war as will make it improbable," but however we state it, it means prep-

VALUE OF PREPAREDNESS

aration — careful, thorough and well thought out.

In considering this great question, it must constantly be borne in mind that we have never yet in all our history engaged single-handed in war with a first-class power prepared for war. This experience is undoubtedly before us unless our history is to be different from that of all other peoples, an assumption which is wholly unwarranted. We may see no concrete danger at the present, but in these times, although at peace, we are like a ship in the cyclone area with messages constantly coming in over the wireless, bearing tales of storm and disaster all about us. We are poor sailors and unworthy of the trust and responsibility placed upon us if we do not take heed of the warnings.

Our wars have been hideously wasteful

AMERICA'S DUTY

of life because we have sent the youth of our country into war untrained and undisciplined—even worse, we have sent them unprepared either to take care of themselves or to render efficient service as soldiers. We have required of them the sacrifice but we have not given them the opportunity to make it reasonably effective. We have sent them untrained, willing, but unprepared; we have sent them under officers ignorant of their elementary duties. We have thrown away their lives with reckless, brutal prodigality. Fortunately for our interests and national life, our enemies have either been inferior in strength and resources, or, like ourselves, have been unprepared and have had to learn the art of war while engaged in war.

This would be impossible in case of war with a strong, well-organized nation,

VALUE OF PREPAREDNESS

a nation whose effort is founded upon well-thought-out preparation — a nation which has not left all the burden of war for the moment of war, but has prepared in advance, her organization, including reserves of men, her equipment and adequate supplies to make good the consumption and losses of war.

A policy which permits a people to drift on willing but unprepared, in spite of all the lessons of the past, ignorantly proposing to place the burdens of war wholly upon the period of war, is a policy which spells destruction for this or any other people foolish enough to adopt and follow it. It is a policy which must meet the strong condemnation of every patriotic man who has an interest either in the lives of his people or the welfare of his country. No soldier worthy of the name, either from the standpoint of informa-

AMERICA'S DUTY

tion or that of patriotic impulse, could for a moment advocate such a policy unless bowing to political opportunism rather than seeking the welfare and safety of his country. The experience and lessons of the past are especially valuable if we will but heed them, for the lessons taught by mistakes are oft-times, to honest, open-minded men, as valuable as success.

Our system has been most undemocratic. We have induced our people by bounty, by gifts of land and other means, to discharge their plain military obligations. We have encouraged a system which has enabled the rich to escape the blood tax—the service in war—through their ability to buy others to take their places in the ranks. I refer to the unspeakably contemptible, unpatriotic and, for the future, I hope, impossible

VALUE OF PREPAREDNESS

practice of buying substitutes. Further resort to these vicious practices should not be permitted. They have no place in a true democracy.

Every good American honors the real volunteer spirit, but it is difficult to understand how any man who is familiar with our country's history can advocate the continuance of the volunteer system, with its uncertainties, unpreparedness and lack of equality of service. We have been warned repeatedly by the experience of others of the folly of depending upon the volunteer system. The lack of training, the uncertainty in the way of returns, the cost, the confusion, have all served to demonstrate the danger of the procedure; the danger to us has been greatly increased by the thoroughness of modern organization and the rapidity with which armies can be transported

AMERICA'S DUTY

over land or sea to deliver attacks in force.

Washington's letters are full of advice against trusting to uncertain returns and insisting upon organization and preparation. The best and bravest have always rushed to the colors first. They are willing but unprepared, and prove an almost unavailing sacrifice. After the excitement wears off, men no longer come, as was seen during the Revolution and during the Civil War. Then comes the use of the bounty, a most vicious and demoralizing practice, and then the draft, and this always in the crisis of a struggle. What system could be more dangerous in these days of organized preparedness?

Service to the nation and for the nation in war is a service which every man, rich and poor, must give, if required, subject only to the limitations

VALUE OF PREPAREDNESS

of age and health. When this vital principle is generally recognized and the rich and the poor stand shoulder to shoulder in the nation's service, there will be much less of class distinction and much more solidarity and a better national spirit. Individuals with more intelligence than courage admit the general proposition that manhood suffrage goes hand in hand with manhood service, and still state that the country is not yet ready for it. If it is not ready it is because they and others of their kind lack the courage to state and urge their convictions. If there was ever a time in the history of this country when it is apparent that this great principle should be urged as the only just and equitable one—the only one on which we can safely rely—it is to-day, with the lessons of the greatest of all wars before our eyes.

AMERICA'S DUTY

That struggle shows conclusively, as have our past wars, that a volunteer system cannot be depended upon and that dependence upon such a system means hastily-raised and untrained armies, officered by willing but uninstructed officers. It means campaigns accompanied by losses unnecessarily great and attended by results far short of what could have been obtained.

We must continue our efforts for World Peace, encourage arbitration, do all we can to extend its application, but while doing this we must not forget the fact—if we do we shall aid in accomplishing the destruction of our own nation—that the era of World Peace has not yet arrived, and that arbitration is not yet of general application. We must not only be just, tolerant and upright in all our dealings with other

VALUE OF PREPAREDNESS

people, but we must also be ready to meet the strength of evil with the force of right.

Our people must be organized and prepared in order that they may be able to uphold the institutions they believe in, defend the right, and if need be, aid the oppressed.

It is unfortunate that we cannot depend upon our own fair dealing and sense of justice to protect us, but nevertheless it is a fact that we cannot. In seeking the ideal we must not forget the actual; we must not let our hopes for the future regulate entirely our conduct at the present. A people may dream of peace and work for it, but they should not lose sight of the fact that it is not yet among us. We are struggling for the elimination of dreaded diseases, but, realizing that we have not thus far been

AMERICA'S DUTY

successful, we take every possible precaution against them. So it is with war.

It is a pathetic sight to see a great people, despite all the teachings of history, follow counsels which must lead not only to unnecessary sacrifice of life, but even perhaps to the loss of national freedom. It is the duty of all who have gathered anything from the history of the past, to bring before the people frankly the lessons taught by the past results of lack of organization and preparation.

The professional pacifist, the advocate of unpreparedness and nonresistance, is the most dangerous of our citizens. He is generally eminently respectable. He is like the well-dressed and well-groomed typhoid carrier, as he goes about, poisoning the very life of the people. He advocates a policy which if adopted will

VALUE OF PREPAREDNESS

surely end in great and unnecessary loss of life, if not in the final loss of our national liberty. In him lie the possibilities of future disaster.

Assuming that our cause is just, non-resistance and unpreparedness mean the establishment of a condition which prevents our effectively defending the right. It assures the subordination of good to evil. It is the most brutal of all policies, as well as the most cowardly and sinister; brutal in that it insures the unnecessary loss of thousands upon thousands of our people in a struggle that is fruitless because it is unprepared and unorganized. It is the more cowardly and sinister in that it is an admission that there is nothing worth fighting for—that there are no great principles which are worth the sacrifice of life. It is a policy which marks the decadence of a people,

AMERICA'S DUTY

and if followed by the bulk of a nation means that its end is at hand.

Preparedness is based upon organization. National preparedness means far more than the mere organization of the army and navy. It means, first of all, the moral organization of the people, an organization which creates in the heart of every citizen a sense of his obligation for service to the nation in time of war or other difficulty. This is the greatest part of organization, and if once accomplished all the rest follows easily and naturally. The organization of the industrial resources of the country would place the government in possession of full knowledge concerning the capacity of each industrial plant — just what it can do, how much, and when — and at the same time would place in the possession of the various industrial organizations an exact

VALUE OF PREPAREDNESS

knowledge of what was expected of them and would see to it that they are properly equipped to discharge their obligations promptly when called upon. An organization which takes into consideration transportation, communications and supply; the organization of the sanitary service, and of the various special groups of highly-trained men; an organization of the financial system of the country so that it may have the elasticity and expansibility to meet the demands of war; the organization of the economical resources of the country; the careful study of ways and means to make good shortages; organization of our chemical resources; provision as far as possible of substitutes for things which are not found within our own limits, so that we may be supplied in case of loss of sea power — all these things come under organization and

AMERICA'S DUTY

require much time for their consideration. This cannot be accomplished in the haste and confusion of war.

A wise nation, realizing that its safety depends upon preparation, and that preparation depends upon organization, gives careful heed to all these questions. It is a vital part of national policy.

The fighting forces of the nation, the land and sea forces, might be compared to the edge of an extremely heavy knife. The mass of steel behind the edge represents trained reserves of men, reserves of munitions, organization, transportation, communication, sanitaray units, special service groups—in brief, all that great mass of organization which measures the might of the nation, which serves to renew the cutting edge and to give it the rigidity and weight necessary to force it home. It is the type of organization which makes

VALUE OF PREPAREDNESS

it possible to apply promptly the might of the nation, and to maintain it for the maximum period of time; it is organization which leaves nothing to chance. It is a recognition of the fact that efficiency can be secured only through preparation, and that preparation rests upon organization.

This sort of preparation makes for national unity, consequently for national strength. It involves having all the men of a certain age doing something in common for the nation at the same time. It makes for national solidarity; it tends to do away with class distinctions. It tends to build up a truer national spirit, to fuse the various elements into a homogeneous mass which, with us, would be one of real Americanism. It tends to the establishment of a condition which will obliterate the sharp distinctions between the rich and

AMERICA'S DUTY

the poor, the distinctions of race and creed, and to make us one homogeneous mass fused by common patriotic impulses. A people not only willing but organized and trained for peace and, if need be, for war.

If we have faith in our institutions and confidence in ourselves, and believe our purpose in the world is a worthy one, this is a condition which we should strive to attain. Its attainment will result in better citizens, better men physically, men better morally and more efficient from the economic standpoint, men more tolerant and more observant of the rights of others. They will be better physically because of the training which will have placed their bodies more fully under the control of their will, will have built up their muscles, corrected their physical defects, taught them how to protect

VALUE OF PREPAREDNESS

themselves in camp and field and to ward off disease and infection. They will be better citizens morally because of the discipline they have had. They will be more observant of the law and the constituted authorities; more observant of the rights of others; more efficient economically because of their habits of discipline, regularity and promptness. They will appreciate that with the rights and opportunities of citizenship go its obligations. They will be all-around better citizens, and collectively we shall be a better nation.

An approximate idea of the unnecessary cost of our military establishment resulting from an unsound military policy, is indicated by the statement on the following page, taken from Huidekoper's statement to the Military Affairs Committee of the Senate.

Period	Condition	Cost
1791-1811	Peace	\$ 5,669,930.65
1812-1816	Including the War of 1812.....	82,627,009.14
1817-1835	Minor Indian wars. Army averaging under 6,000 officers and men.....	90,411,068.59
1836-1843	Florida War	69,751,611.11
1843-1845	Peace. The Army Reduced.....	13,873,146.89
1846-1849	Including the Mexican War.....	88,500,208.38
1850-1860	Peace. The Army reduced.....	168,079,707.57
1861-1865	Including the War of the Rebellion.....	2,736,570,923.50
1866-1869	Forces large on account of French occupation of Mexico	583,749,510.99
1870-1897	Peace. The Army reduced.....	1,211,321,300.94
1898-1899	Including the Spanish-American War.....	321,833,254.76
1900-1902	Including the Philippine War.....	391,662,681.06
1902-1915	Peace. The Army reduced.....	1,866,893,601.69
Total cost since 1790.....		<u>\$7,630,943,955.27</u>
Total cost of pensions since 1790.....		<u>\$4,895,475,637.08</u>
Huidekoper's statement to the Military Affairs Committee of the Senate.		

CHAPTER VIII

WHAT WE SHOULD DO

“Oh, ye Athenians, yet is there time !
And there is one manner in which you
can recover your greatness, or, dying,
fall worthy of your past—go yourself,
every man of you, and stand in the
ranks ; and either a victory beyond all
victories in its glory awaits you, or,
falling, you shall fall greatly and
worthy of your past.”—*Demosthenes
to the Athenians.*

Our past military policy, so far as it
concerns the land forces, has been thor-
oughly unsound and in violation of basic
military principles. We have succeeded
not because of it, but in spite of it. It
has been unnecessarily and brutally
costly in human life and recklessly
extravagant in the expenditure of treas-

AMERICA'S DUTY

ure. It has tended greatly to prolong our wars and consequently has delayed national development.

Because we have succeeded in spite of an unsound system, those who do not look beneath the surface fail to recognize the numerous shortcomings of that system, or appreciate how dangerous is our further dependence upon it.

The time has come to put our house in order through the establishment of a sound and dependable system, and to make such wise and prudent preparation as will enable us to defend successfully our country and our rights.

No such system can be established which does not rest upon equality of service for all who are physically fit and of proper age. Manhood suffrage means manhood obligation for service in peace or war. This is the basic principle upon

WHAT WE SHOULD DO

which truly representative government, or free democracy, rests and must rest if it is successfully to withstand the shock of modern war.

The acceptance of this fundamental principle will require to a certain extent the moral organization of the people, the building up of that sense of individual obligation for service to the nation which is the basis of true patriotism, the teaching of our people to think in terms of the nation rather than in those of a locality or of personal interest.

This organization must also be accompanied by the organization, classification and training of our men and the detailed and careful organization of the material resources of the country with the view to making them promptly available in case of need and to remedying any defects.

In the organization of our land forces

AMERICA'S DUTY

we must no longer place reliance upon plans based upon the development of volunteers or the use of the militia. The volunteer system is not dependable because of the uncertainty as to returns, and in any case because of lack of time for training and organization.

Modern wars are often initiated without a formal declaration of war or by a declaration which is coincident with the first act of war.

Dependence upon militia under state control or partially under state control, spells certain disaster, not because of the quality of the men or officers, but because of the system under which they work.

We must also have a first-class navy, well balanced and thoroughly equipped with all necessary appliances afloat and ashore. It is the first line of defense.

We need a highly efficient regular

WHAT WE SHOULD DO

army, adequate to the peace needs of the nation. By this is meant a regular force, fully equipped, thoroughly trained and properly organized, with adequate reserves of men and material, and a force sufficient to garrison our over-sea possessions, including the Philippines and the Hawaiian Islands. These latter are the key to the Pacific and one of the main defenses of our Pacific coast and the Panama Canal, and whoever holds them dominates the trade routes of the greater portion of the Pacific and, to a large extent, that ocean. The army must be sufficient also to provide an adequate garrison for the Panama Canal, which is an implement of commerce and an instrument of war so valuable that we must not under any conditions allow it to lie outside our secure grasp.

The regular force must also be ade-

AMERICA'S DUTY

quate to provide sufficient troops for our coast defenses and such garrisons as may be required in Porto Rico and Alaska. The regular force must also be sufficient to provide the necessary mobile force in the United States; by this is meant a force of cavalry, infantry, field artillery, engineers and auxiliary troops sufficient to provide an expeditionary force such as we sent to Cuba in 1898, and at the same time to provide a force sufficient to meet possible conditions of internal disorder. It must also furnish training units for the National Guard, or whatever force the federal government may eventually establish in place of it, and provide sufficient officers for duty under the detail system in the various departments, instructors at the various colleges and schools where military instruction is or

WHAT WE SHOULD DO

may be established, attachés abroad and officers on special missions.

The main reliance in a war with a first-class power will ultimately be the great force of citizen soldiers forming a purely federal force, thoroughly organized and equipped with reserves of men and material. This force must be trained under some system which will permit the instruction to be given in part during the school period or age, thereby greatly reducing the time required for the final intensive period of training, which should be under regular officers and in conjunction with regular troops. In brief, the system must be one which utilizes as far as possible the means and opportunities now available, and interferes as little as possible with the educational or industrial careers of those affected. A system moulded on the gen-

AMERICA'S DUTY

eral lines of the Australian or Swiss¹ will accomplish this. Some modifications will be required to meet our conditions.

Each year about one million men reach the military age of 18; of this number not more than fifty per cent are fit for military service, this being about the average in other countries. Far less than fifty per cent come up to the standards required for the regular army, but the minor defects rejecting them for the regular army would not reject them for general military service. Assuming that some system on the general lines of the Australian or Swiss must be eventually adopted in this country, it would seem that about 500,000 men would be available each year for military training. If the boys were prepared by the state authorities, through training

¹ See Appendix for complete description.

WHAT WE SHOULD DO

in schools and colleges, and in state training areas — when the boys were not in school — to the extent that they are in Switzerland or Australia, it would be possible, when they come up for federal training, to finish their military training — so far as preparing them for the duties of enlisted men is concerned — within a period of approximately three months. We should be able to limit the period of first line obligation to the period from eighteen to twenty-five, inclusive, or seven years, or we could make the period of obligatory service begin two years later and extend it to twenty-seven. This procedure would give in the first line approximately three and one-half millions of men at the age of best physical condition and of minimum dependent and business responsibility. From the men of certain years

AMERICA'S DUTY

(classes) of this period, organizations of federal forces should be built up to the extent of at least twenty-five divisions. They would be organized and equipped exactly like the regular army and would be held ready for immediate service as our present militia would be were it under federal control.

Men of these organizations would not live in uniform but would go about their regular occupations as do the members of the militia to-day, but they would be equipped, organized and ready for immediate service. If emergency required it, additional organizations could be promptly raised from the men who were within the obligatory period.

There should be no pay in peace time except when the men were on duty and then it should be merely nominal. The duty should be recognized as a part of

WHAT WE SHOULD DO

the man's citizenship obligation to the nation. The organizations to be made up of men within the period of obligatory service, could be filled either by the men who indicated their desire for such training or by drawing them by lot. This is a matter of detail. The regular army as organized would be made up as to-day; it would be a professional army. The men who came into it would be men who had received in youth this citizenship training. They would come into the regular army because they wanted to be professional soldiers. The regular army would be to a certain extent the training nucleus for the citizen soldier organizations and would be the force garrisoning our over-sea possessions. It would be much easier to maintain our regular army in a highly efficient condition, as general military training would have pro-

AMERICA'S DUTY

duced a respect for the uniform and an appreciation of the importance of a soldier's duty.

The reserve corps of officers would be composed of men who had had longer and more advanced training, and could be recruited and maintained as indicated below, through further training of men from the military schools and colleges and those from the officers' training corps units of the nonmilitary universities and colleges. There would also be those from the military training camps and other sources, such as men who have served in the army and have the proper qualifications. This would give a military establishment in which every man would be physically fit to play his part and would have finished his obligation in what was practically his early manhood, with little probability of being called upon again

WHAT WE SHOULD DO

unless the demands of war were so great as to require more men than those of the total first line, eighteen to twenty-five years, inclusive. Then they would be called by years as the occasion required, and would be available for service up to their forty-fifth year. It would give us a condition of real national preparedness, a much higher type of citizenship, a lower criminal rate and an enormously improved economic efficiency. Pending the establishment of such a system, every effort should be made to transfer the state militia to federal control. By this is meant its complete removal from state control and its establishment as a purely federal force, having no more relation to the states than the regular army has at present. This force under federal control will make a very valuable nucleus for the building up of a federal force

AMERICA'S DUTY

of citizen soldiers. Officers and men should be transferred with their present grades and ratings.

The states have full authority to maintain a military force of their own and under their exclusive control, if they desire to do so. Pennsylvania has established a state constabulary and in doing so has taken a long step in the right direction. Pennsylvania has not had to call upon her militia for strike or riot duty for a good many years.

As has been recommended by the General Staff, there should be built up with the least possible delay a corps of at least 50,000 reserve officers, on lines and through means recommended by the General Staff, and by means of a further development of the United States Military Training Camps for college students and older men, which have been in opera-

WHAT WE SHOULD DO

tion for a number of years. These plans include the coördination of the instruction at the various military colleges and schools and the establishment of well-thought-out plans for the nonmilitary colleges at which it may be decided to establish officers' training corps units on lines now under consideration.

This number of officers, fifty thousand, may seem excessive to some, but when it is remembered that there were one hundred and twenty-seven thousand officers in the Northern army during the Civil War, and over sixty thousand in the Southern, fifty thousand will not appear to be excessive. Fifty thousand officers will be barely sufficient properly to officer a million and a half citizen soldiers. We had in service, North and South, during the Civil War, over four million men, and at the end of the war

AMERICA'S DUTY

we had approximately one and a quarter million under arms.

Under legislative provision enacted during the Civil War, commonly known as the Morrill Act, Congress established mechanical and agricultural colleges in each state, among other things prescribing military instruction and providing for this purpose officers of the regular army. There are nearly thirty thousand students at these institutions who receive during their course military instruction for periods of from one to two years. In some cases the instruction is excellent; in others it is very poor.

There are in addition a large number of military colleges and schools; at these there are some ten thousand students, so that there are approximately forty thousand young men receiving military instruction, nearly all of them under offi-

WHAT WE SHOULD DO

cers of the army. This means a graduating class of about eight thousand, of whom not more than forty-five hundred would be fit to undergo military training.

These men should be assembled in United States Military Training Camps for periods of five weeks each for two consecutive years, in order that they may receive that practical and thorough instruction which in the majority of instances is not possible during their college course. With these should be assembled the men who have taken the officers' training course at the various nonmilitary universities. This course, as outlined by the General Staff, will be thorough and conducted, so far as the purely military courses and duties are concerned, under the immediate control of officers of the army.

From all these sources we have prac-

AMERICA'S DUTY

tically an inexhaustible supply of material from which excellent reserve officers can be made. From the men assembled in camp each year, fifteen hundred should be selected and commissioned, subject only to a physical examination, as they are all men of college type, for one year as second lieutenants in the line and in the various staff corps and departments of the regular army. They should receive the pay and allowance of second lieutenants, or such pay and allowance as may be deemed to be appropriate.

The men who receive this training would furnish very good material for reserve officers of the grade of captain and major, whereas as a rule the men who have not had this training would qualify only in the grade of lieutenant.

From this group of men could well be selected, subject to the prescribed

WHAT WE SHOULD DO

mental and physical examination, the greater portion of the candidates from civil life for appointment in the army. We have the material and the machinery for turning out an excellent corps of reserve officers. All that is needed is to take hold of it and shape it.

The prompt building up of a reserve corps of officers is one of the most vitally important steps to be taken. It is absolutely essential. It takes much time and care to train officers. Not only should students of the various colleges, universities and schools where military training is given, be made use of to the fullest extent, but the military training camps which have been conducted so successfully during the past few years should be greatly extended and made a part of the general plan of providing officers for the officers' reserve corps. It will

AMERICA'S DUTY

be necessary to place the instruction at these camps on a different basis and to combine certain theoretical work with the practical work of the camp. This is a matter of detail which can be readily arranged. The results attained at these camps fully justify their being given the most serious attention and being made a part of the general plan for the training of officers.

CHAPTER IX

CONSTRUCTIVE WORK OF THE ARMY

"All civic virtues, all the heroism and self-sacrifice of patriotism, spring ultimately from the habit men acquire of regarding their nation as a great organic whole, identifying themselves with its fortunes in the past as in the present, and looking forward anxiously to its future destinies."—*Lecke*.

Our people as a whole do not understand what a tremendous factor our little army has been in the building up of the nation and the development of its resources from the earliest days. They too often think of it only as an instrument of destruction. As a matter of fact it has been one of the great influences in opening up and building

AMERICA'S DUTY

up the country and maintaining public order. Of recent years it has played a very great rôle as an administrative force, and in areas under its control great advances have been made and lasting benefits to humanity secured.

Before and after the Civil War the army was the main instrument in the maintenance of order, the safeguarding of life and in the opening up and protection of lines of communication incident to the development of the West. This period of the army's activity was full of fascinating interest; it was attended by much hard and dangerous work. Even to this day the strongest hold the army has upon the affections of our western people is the result of the work of this period.

At the outbreak of the Spanish War the army entered upon a new field of

WORK OF THE ARMY

activity. The war with Spain was not a great war. Fighting was limited to a few hotly-contested actions in Cuba and to some of lesser importance in Porto Rico. Immediately upon the cessation of hostilities the army was confronted with the necessity of taking over the civil administration of the conquered territory. This administration was conducted under the broad authority of military law, but the agency employed was the law of the land. It was military for the time being, in that its source of authority was the power of the military occupant. Some deviations in form of procedure, due to emergency measures, were required, but, generally speaking, the municipal law governed in the town and city, and the general law of the land in the administration of justice and the control of administrative procedure.

AMERICA'S DUTY

The basic policy was to avoid changes in the substantive body of the law, and to limit, as far as possible, modifications to procedure, with a view to its betterment and simplification, and also to giving the accused a larger measure of protection. The accomplishment of this demanded careful attention.

The administrative work in Cuba not only involved the everyday conduct of public business, but an immense amount of constructive work incident to the establishment of a school system, construction of great public works, and of the general laws governing charitable institutions, as well as an enormous amount of sanitary organization, an electoral law and constructive and administrative work to bring about the many changes necessary to convert a war-wrecked, demoralized and exhausted colony, fever-

WORK OF THE ARMY

stricken and overrun by disease, into a self-governing republic.

This great work of the army involved not only the maintenance of public order and the safeguarding of life and property, but, what was more far-reaching, the building up of a sound system of sanitation, a system which, when once in operation, greatly reduced the death rate. Malaria, in its various forms, had been one of the great causes of death in Cuba. Measures were taken which very greatly reduced its ravages among the native population and almost eliminated it from the army. Smallpox had been a devastating scourge. This was done away with entirely by vaccination and the establishment of proper regulations. Yellow fever, one of the most dreaded of all tropical diseases, was brought under thorough control, the

AMERICA'S DUTY

means of transmission discovered and the method of control worked out. This discovery freed Cuba of the dread disease which has swept away countless thousands of its population and decimated the Spanish garrisons and the Spanish population for generations. It is a discovery of vast importance for all time to all living in the American tropical and semi-tropical countries. Its accomplishment was the work of medical officers of the army under the direction of Major Walter Reed. The general sanitary work in the Island was under the control of an army medical officer who was directly under the military governor. Cuban physicians of great ability cooperated loyally in the great work of the sanitary rehabilitation and rendered invaluable service. The discoveries made in Cuba and the methods established for

WORK OF THE ARMY

the control of yellow fever were adopted by other countries and the benefits secured are now common to all countries formerly ravaged by this disease. The saving of life and money in our own country incident to doing away with yellow fever and the quarantine that paralyzed the movement of business in the entire South, has been many, many times the cost of the war.

In Porto Rico similar work was done with reference to malaria and smallpox. The same methods were applied as were employed in Cuba to control yellow fever. The great problem of tropical anemia was taken up and solved. A very great portion of the credit for this work is due to the army, principally to Major Bailey K. Ashford, army surgeon, who took up the work in Porto Rico and found that there was a real cause for what we

AMERICA'S DUTY

looked upon as tropical shiftlessness and laziness. The cause was the hookworm. Most energetic and successful measures were taken to combat it. Recent opinion is to the effect that the re-energization of the working class in Porto Rico incident to doing away with tropical anemia or hookworm disease, amounts to about 60 per cent increased efficiency. The benefits of this discovery are being applied to many tropical and semi-tropical countries, including our own South. It means the re-energization of a great mass of the people. The life-saving value is tremendous. Each year in Porto Rico the reduction in the death rate incident to the control of tropical anemia, exceeds the total loss by death and wounds in the Spanish-American War. Important constructive and administrative work was also accomplished, during

WORK OF THE ARMY

the period of military control, much of it directly under the military governors who were first appointed.

Similar work, administrative, constructive and sanitary, was accomplished in the Philippines. There for a long time the government was under exclusive military control. Much valuable and far-reaching sanitary work was done in those islands by medical officers of the army. This work has been taken up and continued by the medical forces of the civil government and pushed to a degree of success hard to appreciate by those who have not seen what has been done. It has been a great work, resulting in the saving of thousands and thousands of lives.

The construction of the Panama Canal was largely army work. It was built very largely on a sanitary foundation.

AMERICA'S DUTY

Splendid and effective as has been the work of the army engineers, the frightful death toll would have prevented the accomplishment of the undertaking had it not been for Reed's discovery concerning yellow fever and the splendid application of the system of prevention by Surgeon-General William C. Gorgas, who made it possible to conduct the gigantic work of construction under conditions — so far as health was concerned — equal to those existing in almost any portion of the continental United States. These great sanitary works in lands under our control or taken over by us, alone have saved many times the number of lives lost in the war. The benefits of these discoveries will be for all time.

More recently other measures of the greatest value in saving human life have been taken by the military authorities of

WORK OF THE ARMY

the government in the use of the anti-typhoid serum in the army; so effective has the serum been that although there are more than 100,000 men scattered all over the world from Tientsin, China, to Panama, and from Porto Rico to Alaska, in the army we did not have a single death from typhoid in 1915.

The universal application of this preventive measure in the army has demonstrated thoroughly that typhoid fever can be completely controlled; that it is a preventable disease. Its universal application to the military establishment was first made in the United States. England first began the use of it, but did not make it general.

The army has done tremendous service for the country in the handling of the grave and alarming conditions arising from the great Mississippi flood of

AMERICA'S DUTY

recent years. So quietly was this work done that few people appreciate it; thousands and thousands of people have been saved from watery graves or from starvation.

Such has been some of the constructive and life-saving work of the army. A force designed to protect our lives and liberties in time of war, in time of peace it has always been one of the great factors in the development of our own country and of lands under our control.

As has been pointed out again and again in the foregoing pages, the training which men get in the army, the knowledge of sanitation, the respect for law and authority, and the habits of discipline, are of unestimable value in building up a sane and sound people. What the army has meant to our people, how far-reaching its work has been, is

WORK OF THE ARMY

understood by few. It may at times fail, and in great emergency must fail unless backed and supported by an organized and devoted people who appreciate that no amount of willingness can take the place of preparedness and training.

Behind the regular army must always stand the great reserve army consisting of the able-bodied men of the nation, so trained as to be promptly available for military service if needed, but following their normal occupations in time of peace.

Any policy which fails to recognize the principle of equal obligation and equal service is but a makeshift. The volunteer system is unworthy of serious consideration; not trustworthy because it would certainly break down under the sudden shock and strain of modern war; dangerous because it serves to lull people into a false sense of security.

CHAPTER X

LESSONS OF THE WORLD WAR

“To be prepared for war is one of the most effectual means of preserving peace.”—*Washington*.

Since the foregoing chapters were written we have passed through the great World War, into which we drifted unorganized, unequipped and unprepared. God had given us eyes to see, ears to hear and an intelligence to apply the lessons of the past. If we are to judge by the condition of America in all that relates to preparation our eyes had not seen, our ears had not heard and certainly our intelligence had not applied our own experience or that of others.

LESSONS OF THE WORLD WAR

Our ultimate, unavoidable entry into the War had been for a long time clearly evident, unless we were to abandon all pretense of defending the rights and interests of our people, unless we were to wholly fail to meet our obligations to humanity as a great Christian nation, standing for righteousness and justice, and our obligations to civilization for the maintenance of good faith and fair dealing between nations.

We paid in blood and treasure for our failure to prepare. Indeed the world paid for it as well as ourselves, for had we been reasonably ready and organized, had we had reasonable equipment and supplies—in a word, had we been in condition to enter the war with reasonable promptness and effectiveness—there is little probability that the War would have taken place.

AMERICA'S DUTY

The aggressive enemy nations felt confident that they could carry out their purpose before we could intervene effectively. Only the heroic resistance of Belgium, the marvelous courage and effectiveness of the French, and the prompt, unhesitating and determined entry of England prevented the accomplishment of their purpose prior to our being able to enter the War.

The opinion of the German military experts was that we could not enter into any European war effectively within two and one-half years. Generally speaking they were right, but fortunately our unconscious and unintentional preparation for war, through the building of great plants for the manufacture of arms and equipment for the fighting nations, enabled us to shorten this period somewhat. The plants which we built for

LESSONS OF THE WORLD WAR

them were, to a large extent, made available for us almost immediately upon our entry into the War. This enabled us to push forward preparations more rapidly than would otherwise have been the case. As it was, it was more than a year after we came in before we had any considerable number of troops in action. These were our first two divisions. Their air service, their artillery, their automatics and their machine guns were furnished by the Allies — and this despite the fact that we are the greatest manufacturing nation on earth, and the War had been going on nearly four years.

Up to the time of our entry into the War, which was nearly three years after the War began, there had been no organized, thought-out preparation for war on the part of our government and no comprehensive scheme to meet the rapidly

AMERICA'S DUTY

approaching contingency. The Regular Army and the National Guard provided a limited number of officers. There were a limited number in the Reserve Corps.

Many of the Regular Army and most of the latter classes were not well grounded in the methods of modern war. Of modern equipment we had little or nothing. No adequate plans for providing officers had been made. We were pathetically and fatally unprepared.

We paid the price in blood and treasure for our failure to do what for years had been clearly necessary. We were strong enough in men and resources to pay it, excessive though it was, for it can be conservatively stated that our losses in men were from a third to a half greater than they would have been had we had time to train our troops properly, and that the cost of the war was billions

LESSONS OF THE WORLD WAR

greater than it would have been had we made even reasonable preparation.

A dollar spent in preparation before the war would have saved many later on. We were practically without any air service; there were no well thought-out plans for developing one in any way adequate to the demands of the war. Our artillery was supplied by the over-taxed Allies, as was much in the way of special arms, such as automatics, machine-guns, trench mortars, etc. Our training camps were for months without the necessary arms and equipment to train the assembled men. Wooden guns and improvised equipment were used to the limit. We did our best, the Allied line holding while we got ready.

The medical and engineering professions of the country had responded years before the War to the call to aid in build-

AMERICA'S DUTY

ing up a Reserve and were better prepared to meet the demands than other branches of the Service. The Plattsburg Training Camps and their winter courses furnished a large number of partially trained officers. Some were furnished by the military training corps of the great universities; but these men, valuable as they were in starting our training, were sadly inadequate in number.

The crisis of the War was on. We had to enter promptly or it would be too late.

We were like a volunteer fire department, called in the midst of a great conflagration — a department short of equipment and knowing little or nothing of how to use that which it had, a department which had to be organized, equipped and trained during the conflagration.

No nation ever sent braver, more enter-

LESSONS OF THE WORLD WAR

prising troops to war — troops more recklessly indifferent to losses — but they were not trained as they should have been. Time did not permit it. They were not properly equipped to meet the demands of the War. There had been no appreciation of the fact that preparation must be made in advance — that one cannot buy time. These brave troops were not given a sporting chance, nor will our troops ever be given a sporting chance unless we look a bit ahead and unless we shape our policy for the future with some understanding and appreciation of the lessons of the past. We demonstrated during the war the murderous folly of those who talk of raising a million men between sunrise and sunset, of men springing to arms who have never seen arms or learned how to use them, of assuming that words, numbers

AMERICA'S DUTY

and money can take the place of organization and equipment.

The question now is: Have we learned the lessons thoroughly enough not to have to go through the experience of this War again. Will our people heed the mute appeal of the unnecessary dead of this War and of all our previous wars, or must the murderous folly of waiting until war is upon us to prepare against it be a continuing military policy?

The bending, bleeding line of the Allies held while we were doing the things which plain, common sense indicated should have been done years before. Fortunately their line held, and we arrived in time to throw the determining weight of our strength into the struggle.

Good fortune was with us in the Revolution, when half of England was on our side; in the war of '12 and '14, when

LESSONS OF THE WORLD WAR

England was engaged in the great struggle with Napoleon; in the Mexican War, when we fought an ill-equipped and much weaker people; in the Civil War, when North and South, equally uninstructed, learned the game together; in the Spanish War, when we met a nation even less prepared than ourselves; and last, in the Great War, when the Allies held the line while we got ready, furnishing us thousands of instructors who brought to us the lessons of the war — men whose services were invaluable in the preparation of our troops.

The Allies furnished us our artillery, all of our fast fighting air service and most of our air service of other types. They transported a large portion of our troops overseas. Their flags flew over the ships which swept the enemy fleets from the seas before we entered the war

AMERICA'S DUTY

and later in combination with our gallant navy waged successful war against the submarines, furnishing the bulk of the ships so engaged.

It is not difficult to understand what would have happened had we been the front line, without support and dependent entirely upon our own resources. No soldier who was engaged in the Great War has any doubt in this question. The answer is:

Overwhelming disaster, recovery from which would have taken generations.

If there was any question before, this War has demonstrated that oceans are no longer effective barriers unless you have absolute sea control, but on the contrary are convenient lines of approach and of supply. No longer can we look to the physical isolation of our country as a sufficient protection. We must

LESSONS OF THE WORLD WAR

depend upon *ourselves* for protection and upon no one else.

We hope to build up a condition of international agreement through which war will be greatly diminished. We hope to prevent war, but the nations entering into this agreement must be strong and they must be prepared to support the right with force, other means failing. We must realize that good intentions are of little avail unless supported by a resolute and strong spirit, and the organized strength of the people.

We are today the leading nation of the world. We have tremendous responsibility. We love justice and practice fair dealing, but if we are to be of the greatest value in the maintenance of world peace we must be not only righteous but strong.

We are well out of this War, although

AMERICA'S DUTY

it has cost us heavily in unnecessary sacrifice of life and treasure. Other wars will come. We wish it were not so, but we have no sufficient reason to believe that our wishes will be fully realized. We are and always have been desirous of peace. We believe in arbitration more firmly than ever, but we must remember that if we are to be effective in the building up of arbitration we must not only love justice and practice fair dealing, but we must be organized and strong — ready to promptly apply our strength. If the predatory nation, or group of nations, knows that we cannot effectively enter our protest in the shape of our armed forces without years of preparation our voice will have relatively small weight in the world's councils.

We do not want the largest army in the world. We want one big enough for

LESSONS OF THE WORLD WAR

the peace needs of the nation. We want it to be the best army in existence. So it is with the navy. It should be, not necessarily the largest, but the best navy in the world. To have such forces requires the best and most inspiring leadership, the highest degree of morale, and forces thoroughly trained in field and fleet maneuvers. An efficient navy requires not only a thoroughly efficient personnel, but the very best in ships and equipment and all the accessories of a fighting fleet. It must be maintained on a basis of instant readiness. Such a navy must be strong enough to play its part effectively in any war of aggression which may be waged against us. Back of the land and sea forces must be the organized strength of the nation.

The conduct of the War demonstrated that we had no well thought-out plan for

AMERICA'S DUTY

making use not only of the manpower of the nation, but the power of our people as a whole — our resources, transportation, strength in the line of manufacture and construction. All this had to be co-ordinated after we entered the War.

The organization of the material resources of the country, to the extent of knowing where and how we are going to secure supplies, is almost as important as the training of the men. Indeed, unless our material resources are organized and preparation is made to secure an output adequate for the needs of war, the training of the men will be unavailing, for they will have nothing to fight with.

The organization of a nation to resist aggression, as has been already pointed out, is a very broad and comprehensive proceeding. It includes the moral organ-

LESSONS OF THE WORLD WAR

ization of the people; the building up of a sense of citizenship responsibility on the part of all to serve where they can best serve. It is not for the citizen of a constitutional democracy such as ours to say where he is to serve or how, but it is for him to serve wherever he is sent, to the very best of his physical and mental ability. The implanting in the minds of our people of this principle is a very important part of our organization for the defense of the country. Organization also includes the building up of the physical well-being of our people, taking the necessary steps to see that they are sound in mind and body, that all remediable defects are corrected. This is important not only for war, but it is even more important to the economic efficiency of our people in time of peace.

The organization of the nation must

AMERICA'S DUTY

include safeguarding it against the entrance of anarchistic, destructive forces; of the physically or mentally unfit, and of racial types which cannot intermarry with and be absorbed in our population; of racial groups which cannot be assimilated. It includes the thorough information of our people as to what our rights and obligations are, and the building up of a sound, national conscience, one which will make America quickly responsive to the call of duty. It embraces the building up of a strong, intense national spirit — not a narrow, selfish one but one which, while charitable and helpful, is nevertheless intensely American, and the avoidance of loose-fibred internationalism, which means national death. It also includes the Americanization of the newcomer and such of the native-born as are, speaking

LESSONS OF THE WORLD WAR

in terms of citizenship responsibility, slackers. It means the preaching of Americanism from the pulpit, in the schoolroom, on the street; the building up of a spirit of national solidarity, which is founded upon the establishment of human and just relations between capital and labor; the rigid repression of autocracy, whether it be that of capital or of labor; the maintenance of a sound system of public education; the maintenance of courts which are unafraid and unaffected by politics, assuring the citizens of equality before the law without reference to race or creed; strict adherence to our Constitution. These are some of the things which America stands for, and when we preach and talk Americanism it should be with a view to the thorough establishment and firm maintenance of these principles and policies.

AMERICA'S DUTY

The Americanism we preach must not be mere theatrical bombast; it must be practical and constructive and active.

We must remember that we cannot buy time. No amount of wealth will build arms and equipment over night. The weapons and equipment of war must be built and ready and the training of the men must be done in time of peace. An equal opportunity must be given to all men of suitable age and physical condition to take such training as will fit them to be officers if they have the ability. It would be most unwise to limit our supply of officers to those who come from the institutions of higher education. All our youth must be given an equal opportunity to win, in competition, commissions, if they have the ability and qualities of leadership. Inevitably, education will tell, and the bulk of our offi-

LESSONS OF THE WORLD WAR

cers will be men who have had a reasonably good education.

If a full opportunity to compete is not given to all our youth who are sound in mind and body we shall create a feeling that the leaders, the officer class, are going to come from the families of those who have the money and the means to extend to their children unusual facilities in the way of education.

Of course, broadly speaking, no man should become an officer who has not the essentials of an elementary education. He must be able to read and write and to express himself clearly, to understand orders and be able to transmit them.

To be an officer one should also have the qualities of leadership. No amount of education will replace these. On the other hand, marked qualities of leadership often outweigh educational defects.

AMERICA'S DUTY

See General Forest in the Civil War.

No system will be found to be effective in the great crises which depends upon the volunteer principle. Service must rest absolutely upon equality of obligation. We must all serve somewhere — wherever we can best serve.

We must adopt some system of training which will bear equally upon all who are fit — a period of intensive training not to exceed six months — and combine with it a certain amount of citizenship, instruction and industrial training. All who come to these camps must be taught to speak English, and to read and write, if they are unable to do so. The short period of intensive training does not apply to the officers nor to many of the noncommissioned officers. The men who become officers and non-commissioned officers are those who have

LESSONS OF THE WORLD WAR

shown special fitness — those who are keenly interested in military training. They will go on from year to year as Reserve Officers, seeking promotion through preparation and efficiency in service, very much as the better officers of the National Guard have done in the past. From them will come the great bulk of the officers who will be the instructors in whatever system of training we may adopt for the youth of the country. Those who do not care to continue in the Reserve Corps will eventually pass into the unorganized reserve; those whose interest is keen will go on and eventually reach the higher grades in the Reserve Corps.

The war of the future is going to require even more of organization, both moral and material, than the wars of the past. The weapons of war are yearly

AMERICA'S DUTY

becoming more powerful and more intricate and require more skill and training to use them effectively.

We have entered effectively two new fields of effort; the aerial field and the submarine field. Both have tremendous importance; in both great developments are being and will be made. The appliances in each require time to construct and great skill in operation. In other words, as the years go by the character of war becomes more and more one which requires organization and preparation in advance. Less and less may be left to chance.

We must remember that all this preparation is but an insurance against war and an added force in our struggle for world peace, founded on righteousness. Thus far we have not engaged in a war in which we have had to meet, single-

LESSONS OF THE WORLD WAR

handed and unaided, a first-class power. Some day we shall have to, in spite of all our efforts for peace.

The probability of war being forced upon us will be much less if the world knows that we, the champions of peace and fair dealing, are both able and ready to meet the organized forces of wrong with the disciplined strength of right.

The Great War has verified the forecasts made in the earlier chapters of this book. Shall other wars do the same? Shall we blunder on, paying unnecessarily in blood and treasure, lacking in weight in the world's councils, ineffective in the maintenance of world peace?

We shall, unless the world knows that, all efforts for righteous peace having failed, we stand ready and prepared to defend the right with our resources, with our bodies, if necessary with our lives.

APPENDIX

THE AUSTRALIAN SYSTEM OF DEFENSE.

Prior to 1870 the main defense of Australia was in the hands of the British troops quartered in the leading cities; the primary purpose of these troops was to serve as a convict guard. Whenever war appeared to be imminent volunteer corps were organized.

All British troops were withdrawn in 1870, and small detachments of permanent forces were formed as a nucleus around which it was proposed to shape a citizen soldiery. In 1883-1884 a partially paid volunteer militia was organized. There was established at this time a system of military instruction in the schools for boys. This cadet system had attained considerable development, but had not reached the class of boys who fail for any reason to attend these schools, and was purely of a volunteer nature. In 1903 the volunteer system was extended by providing for the military training of the youth not attending school, and who were authorized to form a part

APPENDIX

of the land defense of the country. This system for both the general forces and the cadet forces proved unsatisfactory, so that in 1909 a statute was passed making both the cadet system and the adult system compulsory. This act of 1909 did not become effective until June 30, 1911, on which date the volunteer system ceased, and on the following day the compulsory provisions of this act became effective. They divided the military and naval forces of the Commonwealth into "permanent" and "citizen" forces—the former bound to service for a term, the latter not so bound. Until 1911 they were divided into militia who were paid and volunteers who were not ordinarily paid for their services, with a reserve who had done active service.

Until July 1, 1911, when compulsory training went into effect under the Act of 1909, enlistment in time of peace was voluntary. All male inhabitants between 18 and 60 were liable to service in time of war within the territorial limits of Australia only, and, in addition, cadet corps, in which were enrolled schoolboys under 12 years of age and youths between 14 and 19 not attending school, were established. These corps were not liable for active service.

The Act of 1909 was the direct outcome of the feeling shared by all classes in the community

AMERICA'S DUTY

that the defense of Australia was insecure under a voluntary system; section 125 of this Act provides:

All male inhabitants of Australia (excepting those who are exempted by this Act), who have resided therein for six months and are British subjects, shall be liable to be trained as follows: (a) From 12 to 14 years of age in the junior cadets; (b) From 14 to 18 years of age in the senior cadets; (c) From 18 to 26 years of age in the citizen forces; provided that, except in time of imminent danger or war, the last year of service in the citizen forces shall be limited to one registration or one muster parade.

The Acts of 1910-1913 merely extended or curtailed certain minor provisions of the Act of 1909. To-day the system is substantially as follows:

On July 1st of his 12th year every Australian boy who has been officially declared physically, mentally and morally fit, starts his training as a junior cadet. He is furnished with a hat, shirt, breeches, puttees and shoes, and is given a minimum of 90 hours' elementary military training for each of two years. In his 14th year he becomes a senior cadet—his fundamental military train-

APPENDIX

ing for four years, with an annual minimum of four 4-hour drills, twelve 2-hour drills and twenty-four 1-hour drills in marching, discipline, the handling of arms, physical drill, guard duty and minor tactics. A cadet rifle and belt are added to his "junior" uniform, and 10 per cent of the best shots are given target practice with the service rifle. In his nineteenth year the youth becomes a member of the "citizen forces." He receives two woolen shirts, two pairs of breeches, overcoat, hat, sleeping cap, two pairs of leggings, two pairs of shoes, a kit bag, rifle and bayonet. In the "citizen forces" the minimum annual instruction must reach an equivalent of sixteen whole days' drill, not less than eight of which must be in camps of continuous training.

From the senior cadets the youth is assigned to that arm of the "citizen forces" to which he seems best fitted and in which he is most interested, and is given infantry and cavalry drill, or staff corps training until he is 25 years of age. In his 26th year he is required to attend one muster parade only, and is then discharged from "active" service. He remains, however, subject to recall to the colors in time of war untill he becomes 60 years of age. If he is declared proficient at the end of each year's training by a board of officers convened to pass judgment, he has received twelve years of

AMERICA'S DUTY

systematic progressive military training. To win his discharge he must hold twelve annual certificates of proficiency—a failure to pass the efficiency board means a repetition of that year of training. Promotions in the “citizens” forces are absolutely by merit, the principle adopted being that “the best soldiers must lead, whatever their civil avocation or birth.” The population of Australia of military age is about 500,000. Exemptions and rejections average about 10 per cent for senior cadets and 33 1-3 per cent for “citizen forces.” The number under training when the system is in full sway will give 100,000 senior cadets and 120,000 “citizen” soldiers. The available trained force of Australia will in the course of a few years approximate 300,000 men.

Under the Defense Acts the following classes of exemptions exist: Persons physically, mentally or morally unfit, members and officers of parliament, judges, police, prison employees, ministers of religion, lighthouse keepers, and physicians and nurses of public hospitals. The governor general may by proclamation vary or extend these exemptions, or he may exempt specified areas. Persons whose religion or belief prohibits them from bearing arms may be exempted from service in the combatant branches, but are liable for service in the supply departments; and in every case

APPENDIX

the burden or proof rests upon the person claiming exemption. The parent or guardian who fails to register a son or ward of service age, or the employer who interferes in any way with the military service of his employees, although he is not required to pay an employee for time absent on military duty, is liable to a heavy fine, and the boy or man who is absent from a formation may be fined or imprisoned.

Should the Congress of the United States pass the proposed act to partially pay our organized militia, our system of defense will be practically that which was long ago abandoned by Australia as "insecure." The effect of the present system is the constant maintenance of an adequate, trained force, which is under the direct control of the commonwealth in time of emergency.

The government maintains "area officers" who look after registration and enrollment of the available recruits in their districts, and it supplies its forces with a simple, inexpensive uniform, but no pay.

The young men of Australia give a small amount of their time to the service of their country, and in return receive the best kind of mental and physical training at the most receptive period of their lives. The expense to the government is small, the benefits derived by it and the individuals

AMERICA'S DUTY

it accepts for training are many and important.

The foregoing is a brief synopsis of the Australian system. It will be noted that to apply this system in this country, where practically the entire matter of education is in the hands of forty-eight state governments instead of being in the hands of the general government, will require considerable modification for its practical application. As a matter of fact practically the greater portion of the inherent difficulties attending the securing of efficiency in the militia will have to be overcome in the establishment of an efficient system of military training of any kind that is undertaken by our government. It is not believed that these difficulties will be insurmountable if the people of the country can be made to realize the inherent defects in our present system, and our actual inability to organize even a protective defensive force in this country. By this effective organization is understood, of course, an organization that can be completed in time to be of use under modern conditions. The following ideas are deemed to be essential to efficiency in any system of defense that may be adopted, viz:

1. Absolute and unqualified control by the central or responsible power.
2. A nation-wide appreciation of the needs of the country in the form of national defense.

APPENDIX

3. The actual training and organization of a sufficient number of regular troops to act as an expeditionary force or as a retaining force until the citizen soldiers, whether cadets or militia, can be mobilized.
4. That this citizen force, composed as it must be of militia and students, shall be not only trained, but organized into fixed defensive units, at all times whether in peace or war, under the control and subject to the direct call of the President as Commander in Chief of the Land and Naval Forces.

THE SWISS SYSTEM OF DEFENSE.

“Nothing is more powerful, happier, or more praiseworthy than a State which possesses a very great number of trained soldiers. The independence of the Swiss Confederation rests not upon assurances or promises of emperors or kings, it rests on a foundation of iron—that of our swords.”

The Swiss have always recognized the necessity of universal military service, and as early as 1291 it appears that all who did not serve—even widows and nuns—were subject to a special tax. From a military policy based upon hurried levies when war appeared to be imminent, and which were as broken reeds in action, the Swiss in 1874 passed laws which form the basis of the present system. The laws of 1874 have from time to time been modified in many minor respects.

Today every Swiss schoolboy, from the time he enters school until he is graduated, is given a systematic course of athletic training to fit him for his later military service. This training, which is

APPENDIX

progressive and prescribed by the federal government, although directly supervised by the canton authorities, is followed in every public and private school and institution for boys in Switzerland. The minimum time devoted to this instruction is two hours a week for the younger classes, and three hours a week for the older.

Upon leaving school the young man may volunteer for a course in preliminary training. He receives from 50 to 80 hours a year in athletics, marching, care and use of the service rifle and target practice to include 300 metres. This course is purely voluntary, and is largely gone into by those who hope to win a commission in the Swiss forces.

In his 20th year, if examination finds him morally, mentally and physically fit, must be enrolled as a member of the recruit class of the local battalion of the "Elite," or First Line. He is furnished with a simple service uniform and receives pay at the rate of 16 cents per day. In his recruit year the Swiss receives from 60 to 90 days of military training, depending upon the branch of the army he enters, by instructor-officers of the permanent establishment. Every year after the recruit year, he, as a soldier of the First Line, returns to the colors for at least 11 days of "review" instruction. He retains his uniform, rifle

AMERICA'S DUTY

and equipment in his immediate possession, and since all other impedimenta is kept at the headquarters of the local organization, the details of mobilization are greatly simplified.

Upon reaching the age of 32 the First Line soldier is transferred to the Landwehr, or Second Line, and at 48 to the Landsturm or Third Line. The Landwehr is a feeder for the Elite, and is itself fed from the Landsturm.

Officers are made through merit, are given special courses, and retained in "active" service for longer periods. The law permits no soldier to decline promotion with its added responsibilities in either the commissioned or noncommissioned grades.

The government encourages the formation of rifle clubs and competitions among them in every possible way, and officers of the Second and Third Lines make it a practice to assemble often for tactical discussions and war games.

Every soldier is insured against sickness, accident or death by the government while under instruction or while engaged in any military duty.

Certain classes are exempted from active service in time of peace, as members of the Federal Council, ministers of religion (except the necessary chaplains), prison wardens, frontier guards, police, personnel of public hospitals, and rail-

APPENDIX

road and steamship employees, but are liable for service in time of war in their professional capacities. The morally and physically unfit are not permitted to serve, but are required to pay a special income tax in lieu of service.

In one sense it may be said that Switzerland has no standing army, as its permanent establishment consists of a general staff and a small number of territorial recruiting supply and instructor officers; yet with a population of 4,000,000 in the year 1912 it had a fully organized and equipped, well trained and disciplined force of 490,430 men instantly available. The military expenses of the Government for that year were \$8,229,941, or \$16.77 per man.

While the obligatory military service of the boys is extremely short in contrast with that of the great European powers, it must be remembered that the boy has been receiving military instructions for a number of years, that he has been acquiring a good body and familiarity with the rifle and a high moral sense of his obligation to his country, so that when he comes to the colors he has already absorbed a large proportion of the training which the recruit has to receive after joining the colors in other armies.

Physical training forms an essential part of this preliminary work, and the training is uniform

AMERICA'S DUTY

throughout the country, as it could be here, it all being based upon the calisthenic methods prescribed by the army regulations. Practically all of this instruction is given by the male teacher of the public schools. Rifle shooting is encouraged throughout the country, as it should be here.



